



Article **Functional Response of Four Phytoseiid Mites to Eggs and First-Instar Larvae of Western Flower Thrips,** *Frankliniella occidentalis*

Viet Ha Nguyen ¹^(b), Ziwei Song ²^(b), Duc Tung Nguyen ³^(b), Thomas Van Leeuwen ¹ and Patrick De Clercq ¹,*^(b)

- ¹ Laboratory of Agrozoology, Department of Plants and Crops, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium; vietha.nguyen@ugent.be (V.H.N.); thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be (T.V.L.)
- ² Key Laboratory of Green Prevention and Control on Fruits and Vegetables in South China Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of High Technology for Plant Protection, Plant Protection Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agriculture Sciences, 7 Jinying Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou 510640, China; ziweisong@139.com
- ³ Entomology Department, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Trau Quy, Gia Lam, Hanoi 131000, Vietnam; nguyenductung@vnua.edu.vn
- * Correspondence: patrick.declercq@ugent.be

Simple Summary: The western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*, is a common pest in many crops worldwide. Predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) are effective predators of first-instar thrips and have been extensively used for biological control of the pest. However, the short development time of thrips larvae limits the effectiveness of thrips control. Recent studies have shown that some species of phytoseiid mites are capable of consuming thrips eggs embedded in leaf tissue, thereby extending the window for effective predation on *F. occidentalis* populations. In this study, we aimed to investigate the predatory ability of three phytoseiids native to Southeast Asia and one commercially available species on western flower thrips eggs and compare it with their predation on first instars of the pest. Both at 25 °C and 30 °C, the functional response of all the studied mites was type II to first instars of the thrips, whereas it shifted to type III when thrips eggs. More thrips eggs were consumed at 30 °C than at 25 °C, whereas predation on larvae was minimally affected by temperature. Our research indicates the potential of the studied mites to contribute to the suppression of *F. occidentalis* outbreaks in Southeast Asia.

Abstract: The predation capacity and functional responses of adult females of the phytoseiid mites Amblyseius largoensis (Muma), Proprioseiopsis lenis (Corpuz and Rimando), Paraphytoseius cracentis (Corpuz and Rimando), and Amblyseius swirskii (Athias-Henriot) were studied on eggs and first instars of the western flower thrips, Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande), in the laboratory at 25 °C and 30 °C. At both temperatures, the functional response of all four phytoseiid mites was type II to first instars of the thrips. In contrast, when offered thrips eggs, the functional response was type III. At both temperatures tested, A. swirskii had the highest mean daily consumption of first-instar F. occidentalis, followed by A. largoensis, P. cracentis, and P. lenis. Amblyseius largoensis had the shortest handling time and the highest maximum attack rate when first-instar thrips were the prey. When fed on thrips eggs, A. largoensis had the highest mean daily consumption, followed by A. swirskii, P. cracentis, and P. lenis. On thrips eggs, A. swirskii showed the shortest handling time and highest maximum attack rate. Our findings indicate that all four phytoseiids had a better ability to prey on first-instar larvae of F. occidentalis compared to thrips eggs. At 25 and 30 °C, A. largoensis was the better predator on thrips larvae, whereas A. swirskii was superior in consuming eggs of F. occidentalis. Proprioseiopsis lenis was the inferior predator on both thrips larvae and eggs compared to the other phytoseiids tested.

Keywords: *Amblyseius largoensis; Amblyseius swirskii; Proprioseiopsis lenis; Paraphytoseius cracentis; Frankliniella occidentalis;* predation capacity



Citation: Nguyen, V.H.; Song, Z.; Nguyen, D.T.; Van Leeuwen, T.; De Clercq, P. Functional Response of Four Phytoseiid Mites to Eggs and First-Instar Larvae of Western Flower Thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis. Insects* 2024, *15*, 803. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/insects15100803

Academic Editor: Emmanouil Roditakis

Received: 3 September 2024 Revised: 5 October 2024 Accepted: 8 October 2024 Published: 14 October 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande), is a highly destructive pest of various crops, including vegetables, fruits, and ornamentals [1–3]. The insect is native to western North America but has since spread worldwide, becoming a significant problem in agricultural production [4]. The pest causes both direct and indirect damage to a variety of crops. Feeding deprives the plant of nutrients and leads to spots, scars, and deformations on leaves and flowers, affecting growth and causing yield losses [5]. The western flower thrips also indirectly harms plants by transmitting plant viruses such as the tospoviruses impatiens necrotic spot virus (INSV) and tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) [6–8], further exacerbating its impact on crop yields. As the negative impacts of chemical insecticides have become evident, there is a pressing need to explore alternative approaches for controlling thrips. One such alternative method is the utilization of biological control agents.

As a developing country in Southeast Asia, Vietnam is aiming to achieve the status of a high-quality agricultural product producer and exporter [9]. In recent years, the circumstances in the region have become favorable for major outbreaks of various thrips species, including *F. occidentalis* [10]. The primary approach for handling thrips outbreaks in Vietnam is through the extensive use of insecticides. However, due to increasing concerns from both consumers and authorities in the region regarding the potential health risks associated with pesticide residue on agricultural products [11], there is a growing trend of considering biological control methods as a substitute for chemical methods [12]. An example of this trend is seen in recent research conducted in Vietnam, focusing on the potential of phytoseiid mites for the augmentative biological control of *F. occidentalis* and other arthropod pests [13].

In our previous study, the development and reproduction of three phytoseiid mites, *Amblyseius largoensis* (Muma), *Proprioseiopsis lenis* (Corpuz and Rimando), and *Amblyseius swirskii* (Athias-Henriot), were assessed on either a diet of *F. occidentalis* eggs or larvae of the thrips [14]. In the present study, we investigated the predation efficacy on eggs and first instars of *F. occidentalis* by the above phytoseiid mites as well as *Paraphytoseius cracentis* (Corpuz and Rimando). The latter species has been recorded as a thrips predator in several countries of the Asia-Pacific region, including China, Japan, New Caledonia, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Singapore, and Thailand [15]. It is also found in Vietnam, along with *A. largoensis* and *P. lenis*, on various crops including cucumber, pumpkin, eggplant, and chili pepper [16]. In recent surveys, *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, and *P. cracentis* have been commonly recorded in the Red River Delta of northern Vietnam [17]. Research on these three species has remained limited, and there are few data on their predation activity compared to commercially available species like *A. swirskii*.

The foraging behavior of predators, including their functional and numerical responses, constitutes key elements in selecting predatory mites for biological control purposes [18]. The functional response refers to the correlation between a single predator's predation rates and varying densities of its prey over a specific period [19–21]. To date, most studies have focused on the predation by phytoseiids on first-instar larvae of *F. occidentalis*, whereas far fewer published studies have examined their predatory behavior toward thrips eggs [14,22,23]. Comparing predation capacity on thrips eggs and larvae helps evaluate pest control effectiveness by selecting the appropriate predator species to release against the critical developmental stages of thrips.

The present laboratory study aimed to compare the predation rates and functional responses of female adults of *A. swirskii*, *A. largoensis*, *P. cracentis*, and *P. lenis* on eggs versus first instars of *F. occidentalis*. As the study was conducted with the potential role of these phytoseiids in managing the pest in tropical Southeast Asia, where the average annual mean temperature is $25.5 \,^{\circ}$ C [24], the predation experiments were carried out at temperatures of 25 and 30 $^{\circ}$ C.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Colonies of Thrips and Predatory Mites

Western flower thrips, *F. occidentalis*, were reared at the Department of Plants of Crops of Ghent University (Belgium) on bean pods (*Phaseolus vulgaris* Prelude) and fresh pollen of cattail, *Typha angustifolia* L. (Nutrimite, Biobest Group, Westerlo, Belgium), in plastic boxes ($30 \times 20 \times 8$ cm). Bean pods and pollen were replaced weekly. First-instar larvae and adults of *F. occidentalis* were used for the experiments.

In 2016, colonies of *P. lenis, A. largoensis,* and *P. cracentis* were established at Ghent University after being collected from Vietnam. *Amblyseius swirskii* was provided by BioBest Group NV (Westerlo, Belgium). To rear all predatory mites, bean leaves (*P. vulgaris*) were used as arenas. The leaves were placed upside down on a 2 cm thick layer of cotton in a plastic tray ($20 \times 13 \times 5$ cm), with a thin tissue paper layer on the leaf edges. The cotton and tissue paper were moistened to prevent the mites from escaping. First instars of *F. occidentalis* were supplied daily as the only prey. Each leaf disc was used for two weeks before the mites were transferred to a new leaf disc. All colonies of thrips and predatory mites were reared separately in Panasonic climate chambers (MLR 352H) set at 25 ± 1 °C, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH, and with a 16:8 h (L:D) photoperiod.

2.2. Experimental Setup

To examine the predation and functional responses of individual predatory mites, plastic dishes (5 × 1.5 cm) were employed as experimental units. The bottom was covered with a moist cotton layer (5 × 0.3 cm) upon which a section of bean leaf (diameter 4 cm) was positioned. Soft paper tissue was used to cover the leaf edges, which was moistened daily to prevent the mites and thrips larvae from escaping. Any predatory mites that did escape were excluded from data analysis. Individual deutonymphs of each phytoseiid were collected from the rearing cultures and transferred to a new leaf disc. Bean pods were placed in the rearing units of *F. occidentalis* for egg laying during 72 h. Collected pieces of bean pod containing *F. occidentalis* eggs and larvae were provided daily to deutonymphs until they completed their immature development. Newly emerged female phytoseiids were immediately paired with a male for 24 h. After the males were removed, two-day-old adult females were starved for 24 h before the start of the experiments. All experiments were conducted in a Panasonic climate chamber (MLR 352H) set at 25 ± 1 °C or 30 ± 1 °C, with a 65 ± 5% RH, and a 16:8 h (L:D) photoperiod.

2.2.1. Experiments with F. occidentalis Larvae

Densities of 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 *F. occidentalis* first instars were offered as prey to individual predators, with 20 replicates for each prey density. Starved female phytoseiids were released into half of the dishes using a fine brush, while the other half were used as controls and contained only thrips larvae. After 24 h, the mites were removed, and the number of killed thrips larvae was calculated as the difference between the initial number and the number of survivors.

2.2.2. Experiments with F. occidentalis Eggs

Three-day-old female thrips were allowed to lay eggs for 24 h in the bean leaf sections of the experimental arenas and then removed from the dishes. In order to observe thrips eggs on the surface of the bean leaves, a light source from below was used to illuminate the dishes under a stereomicroscope ($25\times$). In this manner, the total number of eggs in each experimental dish could be accurately recorded. To obtain six densities of eggs, 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, and 13 female thrips were released into the experimental dishes, yielding egg numbers ranging from 4–6, 8–12, 18–22, 28–32, 38–42, and 48–52 eggs, respectively. Twenty replicates were set up for each density. Individual starved female predatory mites were introduced into 10 experimental dishes, while the remaining 10 dishes were used as controls, containing only thrips eggs. After 24 h of feeding on the eggs, the mites were removed. Seventy-two hours later, the number of hatched thrips larvae was counted. The

number of *F. occidentalis* eggs consumed was calculated as the difference between the initial egg count and the number of hatched larvae.

2.3. Data Analysis

The analysis of the functional response data involved a two-step process, as outlined by Juliano [21]. Firstly, a logistic regression was applied to investigate the relationship between the proportion of prey consumed (N_e/N_0) and the initial prey density (N_0), as explained in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 above. This step allowed the identification of the shape of the functional response. Subsequently, the data were fitted to a polynomial function, enabling a description of the relationship between the proportion of prey consumed and initial density:

$$N_e/N_0 = \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3) / [1 + \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3)]$$
(1)

The variable (N_e/N_0) represents the probability of a prey being consumed, while P_0 , P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 denote the maximum likelihood estimates, serving as the intercept, linear, quadratic, and cubic coefficients, respectively [25]. These values were calculated using a cubic mathematical function to estimate the curve (as shown in Table 1).

Table 1. Maximum likelihood estimates from logistic regression of the proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial prey densities by female adults of *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A.* swirskii, and *P. cracentis* at 25 ± 1 °C.

Emocios	Demonsterne	F. occidentalis Larvae			F. occidentalis Eggs			
Species	Parameters -	Estimate	x ²	p	Estimate	F. occidentalis Eggs tate χ^2 p \downarrow 0.8430 10.87 0.0010 0.1036 1.16 0.2845 \downarrow 0.00377 0.82 0.3649 41×10^{-6} 0.47 0.4917 \downarrow 1.3476 9.97 0.0016 0.1588 1.02 0.3116 $^3 \pm 0.00563$ 0.69 0.4073 $i 6.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 0.42 0.5173 $\downarrow 0.9578$ 11.64 0.0006 0.1152 1.27 0.2601 $\downarrow 0.00413$ 0.79 0.3727 $\downarrow 45 \times 10^{-6}$ 0.42 0.5180 $\downarrow 0.00413$ 0.79 0.3280 $\downarrow 0.0047$ 0.96 0.3280 $\downarrow 0.00382$ 0.75 0.3872 42×10^{-6} 0.45 0.5001	р	
	P ₀	4.2715 ± 0.7718	30.63	< 0.0001	-2.7792 ± 0.8430	10.87	0.0010	
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Species & Parameters \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ A. \ largoensis & P_{1} & - \\ P_{2} & 0. \\ P_{3} & -5 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{2} & 0. \\ P_{3} & -5 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{3} & -5 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{2} & 0. \\ P_{3} & -11 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{2} & 0. \\ \hline \\ P_{3} & -11 \times \\ \hline \\ A. \ swirskii & P_{2} & 0. \\ \hline \\ P_{3} & -6 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{2} & 0. \\ \hline \\ P_{3} & -6 \times \\ \hline \\ P_{3} & -8 \times \\ \hline \end{array} $	-0.2971 ± 0.0875	11.52	0.0007	0.1109 ± 0.1036	1.16	0.2845		
A. lurgoensis	P ₂	0.00648 ± 0.00303	4.56	0.0328	-0.00341 ± 0.00377	0.82	0.3649	
Species A. largoensis P. lenis A. swirskii P. cracentis	P_3	$-5 imes 10^{-5} \pm 3.2 imes 10^{-5}$	2.86	0.0908	$28 imes 10^{-6} \pm 41 imes 10^{-6}$	0.47	0.4917	
	P ₀	3.4007 ± 0.5822	34.11	< 0.0001	-4.2545 ± 1.3476	9.97	0.0016	
P. lenis	P_1	-0.4023 ± 0.0776	26.89	< 0.0001	0.1607 ± 0.1588	1.02	0.3116	
	P ₂	0.0113 ± 0.00295	14.55	0.0001	$-4.67 imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.00563$	0.69	0.4073	
	P ₃	$-11 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	10.88	0.0010	$3.9\times 10^{-5}\pm 6.1\times 10^{-5}$	0.42	0.5173	
	P ₀	5.2190 ± 0.9361	31.09	< 0.0001	-3.2677 ± 0.9578	11.64	0.0006	
A	P_1	-0.3558 ± 0.1013	12.32	0.0004	0.1297 ± 0.1152	1.27	0.2601	
A. SWIYSKII	P ₂	0.00755 ± 0.00340	4.92	0.0266	-0.00368 ± 0.00413	0.79	0.3727	
P. lenis A. swirskii	P_3	$-6 imes 10^{-5} \pm 3.5 imes 10^{-5}$	8.16	0.0920	$29 imes 10^{-6} \pm 45 imes 10^{-6}$	0.42	0.5180	
	P ₀	4.7736 ± 0.7958	35.98	< 0.0001	-2.7074 ± 0.8448	10.27	0.0014	
Deverantia	P_1	-0.3852 ± 0.0897	18.43	< 0.0001	0.1024 ± 0.1047	0.96	0.3280	
P. crucentis	P ₂	0.00933 ± 0.00310	9.06	0.0026	-0.00331 ± 0.00382	0.75	0.3872	
Species A. largoensis P. lenis A. swirskii P. cracentis	P ₃	$-8 imes 10^{-5} \pm 3.3 imes 10^{-5}$	6.08	0.0137	$28 imes 10^{-6} \pm 42 imes 10^{-6}$	0.45	0.5001	

To determine the type of functional response, the data were fitted to model (1). The signs of P_1 and P_2 were essential in distinguishing the shape of the curves. When P_1 is negative ($P_1 < 0$), it indicates a type II functional response, suggesting that the proportion of prey consumed decreases consistently with an increasing initial number of prey. On the other hand, a positive value of P_1 and a negative value of P_2 ($P_1 > 0$ and $P_2 < 0$) indicate a type III functional response, suggesting a density-dependent relationship where the proportion of prey consumed displays a more complex pattern [21].

The handling time and the attack rate coefficients for the type II functional response were determined by applying the random predator equation [26]:

$$N_e = N_0 \{1 - \exp[a \left(T_h N_e - T\right)]\}$$
(2)

where N_e is the number of prey killed; N_0 is the initial number of prey; *a* is the attack rate; T_h is the handling time; and *T* is the total time available for the predator (24 h).

For type III functional responses, where *a* depends on the initial prey density, the following equation is applied, where *b* is a constant [26]:

$$N_e = N_0 \{1 - \exp[b N_0 (T_h N_e - T)]\}$$
(3)

The data analysis was carried out using SAS software (SAS 2007). To estimate the attack rate and handling time parameters, the NLIN procedure in SAS was utilized.

Based on the estimated parameters of the functional response, the search efficiency (*E*) was determined using the equation of Beddington (1975) [27]:

$$E = a/(1 + a T_h N_0)$$
(4)

Furthermore, the impact of prey densities, temperatures and prey types on the daily consumption of the phytoseiid mites was analyzed using RStudio, version 1.1.453. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to evaluate the normality of the data. Since most of the data did not follow a normal distribution, non-parametric tests were used in this study: the data were analyzed by Mann–Whitney U tests and Kruskal-Wallis tests. *p*-values smaller than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

At 25 °C and 30 °C, the survival rate of *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A. swirskii* and *P. cracentis* when provided with a diet of thrips eggs or thrips larvae was 100%. At both temperatures, the mortality of thrips larvae in the control was 0%, while the mortality of thrips eggs at 25 °C and 30 °C ranged from 0–2.7% and 0–3.8%, respectively. As mortality rates were lower than 5% in all control groups, predation data were not corrected [21,28].

3.1. Functional Response Type

At both temperatures, when thrips larvae were the prey, the number of prey consumed approached the asymptote hyperbolically as prey density increased (Figures 1A,C and 2A,C), corresponding to an asymptotically declining proportion of prey killed, indicating inverse density-dependence. Logistic regression analysis examining the relationship between the proportion of thrips larvae consumed at various densities and the initial density of prey indicated a significant negative linear parameter P_1 and a positive quadratic coefficient (Tables 1 and 2). This suggests that the functional response of all four predatory species was type II on larvae of *F. occidentalis*. When eggs of *F. occidentalis* were used as the prey, the number of prey killed approached the asymptote as a sigmoid function and there was a rise in the proportion of prey consumed as prey density increased (Figures 1B,D and 2B,D), indicating reciprocal-density dependence. Logistic regression analysis for all prey stages displayed a significant positive linear parameter (P_1) and a negative quadratic coefficient (P_2) (Tables 1 and 2). This suggests that the functional response of all four predatory species was type II on eggs of *F. occidentalis*.



Figure 1. The relationships between the number of prey presented and the number of prey killed, and the corresponding relationships between the number of prey presented and the proportion killed by four phytoseiid mites when offered first-instar larvae (**A**,**C**) and eggs (**B**,**D**) of *F. occidentalis* at various densities at 25 ± 1 °C.



Figure 2. The relationships between the number of prey presented and the number of prey killed, and the corresponding relationships between the number of prey presented and the proportion killed by four phytoseiid mites when offered first-instar larvae (**A**,**C**) and eggs (**B**,**D**) of *F. occidentalis* at various densities at 30 ± 1 °C.

Carrier	Demonsterne	F. occidentalis Larvae			F. occidentalis Eggs			
Species	Parameters -	Estimate	x ²	р	Estimate	χ^2 p 5 10.39 0.00 1.06 0.30 42 0.67 0.41 0^{-6} 0.33 0.56 4 10.03 0.00 0.68 0.40 26 0.45 0.50 0^{-6} 0.24 0.62 5 10.07 0.00 0^{-6} 0.24 0.62 57 0.47 0.49 0^{-6} 0.24 0.62 2 9.71 0.00 0.70 0.40 66 0.47 0.49 0^{-6} 0.23 0.65	р	
	P ₀	3.8361 ± 0.6977	30.23	< 0.0001	-2.4671 ± 0.7656	10.39	0.0013	
A largomeio	P_1	-0.2813 ± 0.0818	11.84	0.0006	0.0967 ± 0.0941	1.06	0.3040	
A. lurgoensis	P_2	0.00619 ± 0.00290	4.56	0.0327	-0.00279 ± 0.00342	0.67	0.4145	
	P ₃	$-5 imes 10^{-5} \pm 3.1 imes 10^{-5}$	2.89	0.0893	$21\times10^{-6}\pm37\times10^{-6}$	0.33	0.5652	
	P ₀	2.0119 ± 0.5225	14.82	< 0.0001	-3.0444 ± 0.9614	10.03	0.0015	
A. largoensis P. lenis A. swirskii	P_1	-0.2201 ± 0.0714	9.50	0.0021	0.0972 ± 0.1176	0.68	0.4088	
	P ₂	0.00480 ± 0.00277	3.01	0.0828	-0.00286 ± 0.00426	0.45	0.5022	
	P ₃	$-4 imes 10^{-5} \pm 3.1 imes 10^{-5}$	1.73	0.1887	$23 imes 10^{-6} \pm 46 imes 10^{-6}$	0.24	0.6223	
	P ₀	5.2074 ± 0.9345	31.05	< 0.0001	-2.5559 ± 0.8055	10.07	0.0015	
A · 1··	P_1	-0.3541 ± 0.1017	12.12	0.0005	0.0874 ± 0.0987	0.78	0.3763	
A. swirskii	P ₂	0.00716 ± 0.00343	4.36	0.0369	-0.00246 ± 0.00357	0.47	0.4916	
	P ₃	$-5 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	2.28	0.1310	$19\times10^{-6}\pm39\times10^{-6}$	0.24	0.6270	
	P ₀	5.5762 ± 0.8561	42.43	< 0.0001	-2.5366 ± 0.8142	9.71	0.0018	
Deverantia	P_1	-0.4771 ± 0.0956	24.92	< 0.0001	0.0844 ± 0.1006	0.70	0.4015	
P. crucentis	P ₂	0.0124 ± 0.00328	14.22	0.0002	-0.00250 ± 0.00366	0.47	0.4946	
	P ₃	$-11 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	10.73	0.0011	$19 imes 10^{-6} \pm 40 imes 10^{-6}$	0.23	0.6288	

Table 2. Maximum likelihood estimates from logistic regression of the proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial prey densities by female adults of *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A. swirskii*, and *P. cracentis* at 30 ± 1 °C.

3.2. Prey Consumption, Maximum Attack Rate, and Handling Time

At 25 °C, when the diet consisted of thrips larvae, the handling times of the different phytoseiids ranged from 1.80 (*A. largoensis*) to 4.31 h (*P. lenis*). Conversely, the theoretical maximum attack rate varied from 5.53 (*P. lenis*) to 13.33 larvae per day (*A. largoensis*) (Table 3). When thrips eggs were offered, the handling times varied from 2.10 (*A. swirskii*) to 3.24 h (*P. lenis*). The maximum attack rate ranged from 7.41 (*P. lenis*) to 11.43 eggs (*A. swirskii*) (Table 3). The highest mean daily consumption of thrips larvae across all densities ranged from 13.20 larvae for *A. swirskii* to 6.20 larvae for *P. lenis* (Table 4). *Amblyseius largoensis* had the highest mean egg consumption in 24 h (4.90 eggs), compared to *A. swirskii* (4.50 eggs), *P. cracentis* (4.50 eggs), and *P. lenis* (2.40 eggs) (Table 5).

Table 3. Estimates of handling time (T_h) and maximum attack rate (T/T_h) of predatory mites when fed on first-instar larvae or eggs of *F. occidentalis* at 25 ± 1 °C.

Smaalaa	F. occidenta	lis Larvae	F. occidentalis Eggs		
Species	<i>T_h</i> (h)	T/T_h	<i>T_h</i> (h)	T/T_h	
A. largoensis	1.80 ± 0.08 (1.64–1.96)	13.33	$\begin{array}{c} 2.15 \pm 0.68 \\ (0.79 3.51) \end{array}$	11.15	
P. lenis	$\begin{array}{c} 4.31 \pm 0.19 \\ (3.95 4.67) \end{array}$	5.53	3.24 ± 1.89 (-0.55–7.02)	7.41	
A. swirskii	$\begin{array}{c} 1.83 \pm 0.07 \\ (1.701.95) \end{array}$	13.14	$\begin{array}{c} 2.10 \pm 0.60 \\ (0.893.31) \end{array}$	11.43	
P. cracentis	$\begin{array}{c} 2.07 \pm 0.08 \\ (1.912.22) \end{array}$	11.62	$\begin{array}{c} 2.49 \pm 0.60 \\ (1.283.69) \end{array}$	8.39	

Values are presented as means \pm SE. The values in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.

Phytoseiid			F. occidentalis	Larval Density			χ^2	17
Species	5	10	20	30	40	50	X	P
A. largoensis	5.00 ± 0.00 a A	8.20 ± 0.36 a AB	12.70 ± 0.79 a C	12.90 ± 0.67 a C	12.80 ± 0.83 a C	11.60 ± 0.58 a BC	39.970	$1.51 imes 10^{-6}$
P. lenis	$4.50\pm0.22\:b\:A$	$5.50\pm0.45b\;AB$	$5.20\pm0.49~b~AB$	$6.20\pm0.36~b~B$	$5.50\pm0.22\:b\:AB$	$4.80\pm0.44~b~AB$	12.341	0.030
A. swirskii	5.00 ± 0.00 a A	8.90 ± 0.28 a AB	$13.20\pm0.55~a~C$	13.10 ± 0.60 a C	12.60 ± 0.48 a C	$12.00\pm0.58~a$ BC	41.431	$7.68 imes10^{-8}$
P. cracentis	4.80 ± 0.13 ab A	8.80 ± 0.42 a AB	$10.20\pm0.66~ab~B$	11.70 ± 0.58 a B	11.00 ± 0.42 a B	10.90 ± 0.66 a B	34.297	$2.08 imes10^{-6}$
$\frac{\chi^2}{p}$	8.537 0.036	$\begin{array}{c} 20.291 \\ 1.48 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$26.206 \\ 8.64 imes 10^{-6}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.708 \\ 2.88 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$25.64 \\ 1.13 imes 10^{-5}$	$22.68 \\ 4.71 imes 10^{-5}$		

Table 4. Mean daily prey consumption by *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A. swirskii*, and *P. cracentis* at various densities of *F. occidentalis* first-instar larvae at 25 ± 1 °C.

Values are presented as means \pm SE. Means followed by different lowercase letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test). Means followed by different capital letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test).

Table 5. Mean daily prey consumption by *A. largoensis, P. lenis, A. swirskii,* and *P. cracentis* at various densities of F. occidentalis eggs at 25 ± 1 °C.

Phytoseiid			F. occidental	is Egg Density			2/2	"
Species	4–6	8–12	18–22	28-32	38-42	48-52	- X	P
A. largoensis	0.20 ± 0.13 a A	1.40 ± 0.22 a A	2.80 ± 0.29 a AB	4.70 ± 0.45 a B	4.60 ± 0.48 a B	$4.90\pm0.43~a~B$	50.380	$1.16 imes10^{-9}$
P. lenis	0.10 ± 0.10 a A	$0.60\pm0.16~b~A$	$1.30\pm0.30~b~AB$	$2.10\pm0.23~b~B$	$2.40\pm0.22~b~B$	$2.40\pm0.27~b~B$	46.986	$5.71 imes 10^{-9}$
A. swirskii	0.20 ± 0.13 a A	0.90 ± 0.23 ab A	2.50 ± 0.31 a AB	4.20 ± 0.20 a BC	4.40 ± 0.27 a BC	4.50 ± 0.17 a C	51.408	$7.14 imes10^{-10}$
P. cracentis	0.20 ± 0.13 a A	1.40 ± 0.16 a A	2.70 ± 0.26 a AB	$4.30\pm0.37~a~B$	4.30 ± 0.33 a B	$4.50\pm0.31~a~B$	48.531	$2.77 imes 10^{-9}$
$\frac{\chi^2}{p}$	0.507 0.918	9.717 0.021	11.075 0.011	$\begin{array}{c} 19.235 \\ 2.45 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.687 \\ 5.10 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.349 \\ 2.32 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$		

Values are presented as means \pm SE. Means followed by different lowercase letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test). Means followed by different capital letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test).

At 30 °C, when phytoseiid mites were offered larvae of *F. occidentalis*, handling times ranged from 2.04 (*A. largoensis*) to 3.98 h (*P. lenis*), with theoretical maximum attack rates of 6.03 (*P. lenis*) to 11.78 larvae (*A. largoensis*) (Table 6). When predatory mites were offered thrips eggs, the handling times ranged from 1.20 (*A. swirskii*) to 2.27 h (*P. lenis*), with maximum attack rates varying from 10.58 (*P. lenis*) to 19.96 eggs (*A. swirskii*) (Table 6). Mean daily consumption of thrips larvae recorded across all densities ranged from 12.70 larvae for *A. swirskii* to 6.10 larvae for *P. lenis* (Table 7). For thrips eggs, mean daily consumption varied between 6.40 eggs for *A. largoensis* and 3.90 eggs for *P. lenis* (Table 8). In absolute numbers, the mean daily consumption of both larvae and eggs was substantially lower for *P. lenis* compared to *A. swirskii*, *A. largoensis*, and *P. cracentis* at all prey densities, except at a density of 4–6 thrips eggs.

Table 6. Estimates of handling time (T_h) and maximum attack rate (T/T_h) of predatory mites when fed on first-instar larvae or eggs of *F. occidentalis* at 30 ± 1 °C.

Species	F. occidenta	lis Larvae	F. occidentalis Eggs		
Species	<i>T_h</i> (h)	T/T_h	<i>T_h</i> (h)	T/T_h	
A. largoensis	2.04 ± 0.07 (1.89–2.18)	11.78	$\begin{array}{c} 1.44 \pm 0.37 \\ (0.712.18) \end{array}$	16.64	
P. lenis	3.98 ± 0.20 (3.58–4.38)	6.03	2.27 ± 0.77 (0.73–3.80)	10.58	
A. swirskii	2.06 ± 0.07 (1.92–2.20)	11.65	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20 \pm 0.40 \\ (0.392.01) \end{array}$	19.96	
P. cracentis	2.35 ± 0.09 (2.18–2.51)	10.22	1.85 ± 0.48 (0.88–2.82)	12.98	

Values are presented as means \pm SE. The values in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.

Phytoseiid Species			F. occidentalis	Larval Density			χ^2	11
	5	10	20	30	40	50	X	P
A. largoensis	5.00 ± 0.00 a A	7.70 ± 0.37 ab AC	11.40 ± 0.40 ab B	12.10 ± 0.57 a B	10.90 ± 0.46 a BC	10.10 ± 0.48 a BC	43.583	$2.82 imes 10^{-8}$
P. lenis	$3.60\pm0.40~b~A$	$5.80\pm0.36b\;AB$	$6.00\pm0.42~b~B$	$6.10\pm0.57~b~B$	$5.80\pm0.53~b~AB$	$5.10\pm0.35~b~AB$	16.299	$6.04 imes10^{-3}$
A. swirskii	5.00 ± 0.00 a A	8.90 ± 0.35 a AB	12.70 ± 0.54 a C	12.10 ± 0.50 a C	10.80 ± 0.66 a C	10.30 ± 0.47 a BC	40.165	$1.38 imes 10^{-7}$
P. cracentis	5.00 ± 0.00 a AB	8.60 ± 0.37 a BC	9.90 ± 0.57 ab C	11.10 ± 0.53 a C	10.10 ± 0.67 a C	8.90 ± 0.38 a C	33.332	$3.23 imes10^{-6}$
$\frac{\chi^2}{p}$	$20.526 \\ 1.32 imes 10^{-4}$	$20.513 \\ 1.33 imes 10^{-4}$	27.093 5.63×10^{-6}	23.244 $3.59 imes 10^{-5}$	$20.447 \\ 1.37 imes 10^{-4}$	25.121 1.46×10^{-5}		

Table 7. Mean daily prey consumption by *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A. swirskii*, and *P. cracentis* at various densities of *F. occidentalis* first-instar larvae at 30 ± 1 °C.

Values are presented as means \pm SE. Means followed by different lowercase letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test). Means followed by different capital letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test).

Table 8. Mean daily prey consumption by *A. largoensis*, *P. lenis*, *A. swirskii*, and *P. cracentis* at various densities of *F. occidentalis* eggs at 30 ± 1 °C.

Phytoseiid			F. occidental	is Egg Density			~ ²	11
Species	4–6	8–12	18–22	28-32	38-42	48-52	X	P
A. largoensis P. lenis A. swirskii	0.20 ± 0.13 a A	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.13 \text{ a A} \\ 0.90 \pm 0.23 \text{ b A} \\ 1.50 \pm 0.22 \text{ ab A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.40 \pm 0.31 \text{ a AB} \\ 2.20 \pm 0.25 \text{ a AB} \\ 3.10 \pm 0.28 \text{ a AB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.70 \pm 0.37 \text{ a B} \\ 3.40 \pm 0.27 \text{ b B} \\ 4.90 \pm 0.23 \text{ a BC} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.20 \pm 0.29 \text{ a B} \\ 3.70 \pm 0.21 \text{ b B} \\ 5.80 \pm 0.33 \text{ a BC} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.40 \pm 0.34 \text{ a B} \\ 3.90 \pm 0.28 \text{ b B} \\ 6.10 \pm 0.31 \text{ a C} \end{array}$	50.380 46.986 51.408	$\begin{array}{c} 1.16 \times 10^{-9} \\ 5.71 \times 10^{-9} \\ 7.14 \times 10^{-10} \end{array}$
P. cracentis χ^2 p	0.20 ± 0.13 a A 0 1	1.50 ± 0.17 ab A 9.731 0.021	2.90 ± 0.31 a AB 7.699 0.053	4.60 ± 0.31 ab B 17.495 5.59×10^{-4}	5.10 ± 0.35 ab B 20.339 1.44×10^{-4}	5.20 ± 0.25 ab B 21.083 1.01×10^{-4}	48.531	2.77×10^{-9}

Values are presented as means \pm SE. Means followed by different lowercase letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test). Means followed by different capital letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test).

When phytoseiid mites were presented with larvae of *F. occidentalis*, there were no significant differences (p > 0.05, Mann–Whitney U tests) between 25 °C and 30 °C in the mean daily consumption rates at various densities of thrips larvae, except for *P. cracentis* at a density of 50 larvae (Supplementary Table S1). When thrips eggs were provided as prey, differences in mean daily consumption of predatory mites were observed for *A. largoensis* (at densities of 38–42 and 48–52 eggs), *P. lenis* (at densities of 28–32, 38–42, and 48–52 eggs), and *A. swirskii* (at densities of 28–32, 38–42, and 48–52 eggs) (p < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U tests; Supplementary Table S2). For *P. cracentis*, no differences in predation on thrips eggs were observed between 25 °C and 30 °C. At both temperatures, all predator species consumed significantly higher numbers of first instars of *F. occidentalis* compared to thrips eggs (p < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U tests; Supplementary Tables S3 and S4).

3.3. Searching Efficiency

The search efficiency of the four phytoseiid mites exhibited a consistent pattern at both tested temperatures (Figures 3 and 4), with values decreasing as the density of thrips larvae or eggs increased. The search efficiency of *P. lenis* on both types of prey was significantly lower compared to the other three predatory mites.



Figure 3. Searching efficiency of four phytoseiid mites when offered first-instar larvae (**A**) and eggs (**B**) of *F. occidentalis* at various densities at 25 ± 1 °C.



Figure 4. Searching efficiency of four phytoseiid mites when offered first-instar larvae (**A**) and eggs (**B**) of *F. occidentalis* at various densities at 30 ± 1 °C.

4. Discussion

At both 25 and 30 $^{\circ}$ C, all phytoseiid mites exhibited a type II functional response when the prey were first instars of *F. occidentalis*. Type II responses have been reported by several studies focusing on thrips predation by phytoseiid mites, including Neoseiulus cucumeris (Oudemans) at various densities of F. occidentalis first instars [29,30], Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes) and Euseius nicholsi (Ehara & Lee) at first instars of Thrips flavidulus (Bagnail) [31], and Amblyseius herbicolus (Chant) at first instars of Sericothrips staphylinus Haliday [32]. A type II functional response indicates that these predatory mites increase their consumption of thrips larvae as prey availability increases at lower prey densities, and their responses gradually approach a maximum level (asymptote) at higher prey densities, albeit at a slower rate. However, when the prey were thrips eggs, the response shifted to type III, suggesting that predation was poor when egg densities were low, but predatory mites increased egg consumption with increasing availability of thrips eggs, albeit with a decreasing rate as prey density continued to rise. Likewise, some studies have reported that phytoseiids when fed on eggs as prey exhibited a type III functional response. For example, Euseius concordis (Chant) fed on eggs of the cassava green mite Mononychellus tanajoa (Bondar) [33], and A. swirskii fed on eggs of the two-spotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae (Koch) [34]. In contrast, several other studies have shown that phytoseiid mites feeding on egg prey exhibited a type II functional response, such as Neoseiulus californicus (McGregor) and N. cucumeris to eggs of T. urticae [35,36], Galendromus flumenis (Chant) to eggs of the grass mite Oligonychus prantensis (Banks) [37], and A. largoensis to eggs of the red palm mite Raoiella indica (Hirst) [38].

Phytoseiid mites are blind [39] and typically consume more exophytically laid eggs than other developmental stages of various arthropod prey [40,41], due to their immobility, relatively small size, and lack of defensive capabilities [42,43]. However, the eggs of *F. occidentalis* are mostly embedded within leaf tissue. Although the handling time for eggs in our study was shorter compared to that of first-instar larvae (Tables 3 and 6), thrips eggs

are still difficult for predatory mites to detect and feed upon. Indeed, the search efficiency and consumption of the tested phytoseiids for eggs of *F. occidentalis* were much lower than for first-instar larvae (Figures 3 and 4, Tables S3 and S4). Mites with a high search rate, such as *A. swirskii* [43], are more likely to encounter motionless thrips eggs than "sit and wait predators". *Amblyseius swirskii* also had the shortest handling time and the highest maximum attack rate when thrips eggs were offered compared to the other species in our study.

In Nguyen et al. [14], higher death or escape rates of A. swirskii, A. largoensis, and P. lenis when offered F. occidentalis eggs as prey during the developmental period compared to first-instar larvae suggested a preference for larvae over eggs. This preference is further corroborated by the current study, as at both temperatures and at all prey densities, the number of consumed first instars of *F. occidentalis* by all tested predatory mites was significantly higher than the number of consumed thrips eggs (Tables S3 and S4). Among the four predator species tested, A. largoensis had the shortest handling time and the highest maximum attack rate when first instars of F. occidentalis were provided. The difficulties associated with the localization and accessibility of thrips eggs compared to first-instar larvae may result in a preference for the larvae. Besides the mobility of larval prey, increasing chances of encounter, other factors may also be involved in the localization of larval thrips prey by phytoseiid mites. Previous studies have indicated that when certain arthropod prey damages a host plant, the latter may release herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs) [44-47] that are attractive to predatory arthropods, including phytoseiid mites [48–50]. In addition, some reports suggest that certain chemical cues released by prey when threatened can aid predatory mites in locating the prey more easily [51,52]. In the present experiments, the larvae of *F. occidentalis* caused more damage to the bean leaf tissue than the eggs did. This could be associated with a greater release of chemical cues in the presence of larval prey, leading to higher predation rates on thrips larvae than on eggs. Also, the tendency of thrips larvae to feed in groups may make them comparatively easier targets for predation [53]. Finally, first-instar larvae of F. occidentalis are smaller than phytoseiid adults and have weaker defenses against predator attacks than second instars and adult thrips, making the first instars relatively easy prey to subdue [54,55]. A number of other studies have reported a preference of phytoseiids for early-instar larvae of arthropod prey over eggs. For example, Euseius alatus (DeLeon) and Iphiseiodes zuluagai (Denmark & Muma) preferred larvae over eggs, nymphs, and adults of the flat mite Brevipalpus phoenicis (Geijskes) [56]; A. swirskii preferred second instars over first instars or eggs of the silverleaf whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) [57]; N. californicus preferred larvae over nymphs and eggs of the two-spotted spider mite T. urticae [58]; Neoseiulus longispinosus (Evans) preferred larvae over eggs and adults of Oligonychus biharensis (Hirst) [59].

Besides prey type, several other factors may influence the functional response of predatory arthropods, including environmental temperature [35,60]. Temperature is a key factor affecting the predation behavior of predatory mites, and it may partly account for the differences observed among studies [61,62]. In the present study, temperatures within the range of 25–30 °C did not affect the type of functional response of adult females of four (sub)tropical phytoseiids to F. occidentalis larvae and eggs, but they did affect the functional response parameters. When the prey was thrips larvae, search efficiency, handling time, maximum attack rate, and daily prey consumption were overall similar at both tested temperatures (Tables 3, 4, 6 and 7; Figures 3A and 4A). The lack of a difference in predation characteristics on larval prey between 25 °C and 30 °C may be explained in part by increased activity of both predators and prey. Improved predation activity of the predators at the higher temperature may be counteracted by more intense defense behaviors of the thrips larvae. However, when the prey was thrips eggs, the predation performance of A. swirskii, A. largoensis, and P. lenis (but not P. cracentis) was affected by temperature, with higher values at 30 °C than at 25 °C (Tables 3, 5, 6 and 8; Figures 3B and 4B). At 30 °C, the thrips eggs developed faster and protruded more from the leaf surface compared to 25 °C, thereby facilitating prey localization and creating favorable conditions for predation, which can

explain the higher egg consumption rates of *A. swirskii*, *A. largoensis*, and *P. lenis* at the former temperature.

In conclusion, the findings of the present study suggest that *A. swirskii*, *A. largoensis*, and *P. cracentis* are more effective than *P. lenis* in reducing *F. occidentalis* populations, showing a preference for first instars over eggs. An increase in temperature from 25 °C to 30 °C significantly increased mite consumption of thrips eggs, especially at higher egg densities, while their ability to prey on thrips larvae varied little with temperature. Our findings indicate the potential of the studied predatory mites to suppress *F. occidentalis* outbreaks in the tropical climate of Southeast Asia. However, further field studies are needed to fully appreciate their role in local programs for augmentative or conservation biological control, particularly considering their interactions with host plants [63] and with other predator and prey species [58], under varying climatic conditions [35].

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/insects15100803/s1, Table S1: Impact of temperature on daily prey consumption by four phytoseiid mites at various densities of *F. occidentalis* first-instar larvae. Table S2: Impact of temperature on daily prey consumption by four phytoseiid mites at various densities of *F. occidentalis* eggs. Table S3: Impact of prey type on daily prey consumption of four phytoseiid mites at various densities of *F. occidentalis* eggs or first-instar larvae at 25 °C. Table S4: Impact of prey type on daily prey consumption of four phytoseiid mites at various densities of *F. occidentalis* eggs or first-instar larvae at 30 °C.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.H.N.; methodology, V.H.N. and Z.S.; experimental activities, V.H.N.; statistical analysis, V.H.N. and Z.S.; writing—original draft preparation, V.H.N.; revising the manuscript, P.D.C., D.T.N., Z.S. and T.V.L.; supervision, P.D.C. and T.V.L.; funding acquisition, D.T.N., P.D.C. and T.V.L.; project administration, P.D.C. and T.V.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported in part by a scholarship from the Vietnamese government, and in part by a project funded by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) and the Research Foundation—Flanders (FWO) (grant number FWO.106.2020.01 and G0E1221N).

Data Availability Statement: The data and materials generated or analyzed during this study are available upon reasonable request. Researchers interested in accessing the dataset or materials can contact the corresponding author, Patrick De Clercq, through his ORCID profile (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0664-1602) at patrick.declercq@ugent.be.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Cao, Y.; Zhi, J.; Zhang, R.; Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Lv, Z.; Gao, Y. Different population performances of *Frankliniella occidentalis* and *thrips hawaiiensis* on flowers of two horticultural plants. J. Pest Sci. **2018**, *91*, 79–91. [CrossRef]
- Coll, M.; Shakya, S.; Shouster, I.; Nenner, Y.; Steinberg, S. Decision-making tools for *Frankliniella occidentalis* management in strawberry: Consideration of target markets. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 2007, 122, 59–67. [CrossRef]
- Demirozer, O.; Tyler-Julian, K.; Funderburk, J.; Leppla, N.; Reitz, S. Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande) integrated pest management programs for fruiting vegetables in Florida. Pest Manag. Sci. 2012, 68, 1537–1545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Kirk, W.D.J.; Terry, L.I. The spread of the western flower thrips *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande). *Agric. Forest Entomol.* 2003, *5*, 301–310. [CrossRef]
- 5. Pearsall, I.A. Damage to Nectarines by the Western Flower Thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in the Interior of British Colombia, Canada. J. Econ. Entomol. 2000, 93, 1207–1215. [CrossRef]
- Maris, P.C.; Joosten, N.N.; Goldbach, R.W.; Peters, D. Tomato spotted wilt virus infection improves host suitability for its vector Frankliniella occidentalis. Phytopathology 2007, 94, 706–711. [CrossRef]
- Prins, M.; Goldbach, R. The emerging problem of tospovirus infection and non-conventional methods of control. *Trends Microbiol.* 1998, 6, 31–35. [CrossRef]
- Wan, Y.; Hussain, S.; Merchant, A.; Xu, B.; Xie, W.; Wang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, X.; Wu, Q. Tomato spotted wilt orthotospovirus influences the reproduction of its insect vector, western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*, to facilitate transmission. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2020, 76, 2406–2414. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 9. Nguyen, V.S.; Nguyen, T.M.P.; Ho, N.C.; Nguyen, X.D.; Do, T.D.; Vu, N.H.; Vuong, T.K.H.; Nguyen, C.T.; Tran, T.T.T. Vietnamese agriculture before and after opening economy. *Mod. Econ.* **2020**, *11*, 894–907.
- 10. Poushkova, S.V.; Kasatkin, D.G. Materials to the knowledge of the fauna of thrips (Thysanoptera) in Vietnam as a result of the expedition of FGBU "VNIIKR". *Plant Quar. Nr* 2 2020, 2, 55–68.
- 11. Mai, H.T.; Shamim, S.; Pham, D.K.H. Consumer concern about food safety in Hanoi, Vietnam. Food Control 2019, 98, 238–244.
- 12. Pham, V.H.; Mol, A.; Oosterveer, P. State governance of pesticide use and trade in Vietnam. NJAS-Wagen J. Life Sci. 2013, 67, 19–26.
- Nguyen, D.T.; Than, T.A.; Jonckheere, W.; Nguyen, V.H.; Van Leeuwen, T.; De Clercq, P. Life tables and feeding habits of *Proprioseiopsis lenis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and implications for its biological control potential in Southeast Asia. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* 2019, 24, 857–865.
- 14. Nguyen, V.H.; Nguyen, D.T.; Van Leeuwen, T.; De Clercq, P. Life table parameters of *Amblyseius largoensis, Amblyseius swirskii* and *Proprioseiopsis lenis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) fed on eggs and larvae of *Frankliniella occidentalis. Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2024**, *93*, 99–114. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liao, J.R.; Ho, C.C.; Ko, C.C. Checklist of Phytoseiidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) from Taiwan. Formosan Entomol. 2013, 33, 67–90.
- 16. Kreiter, S.; Bopp, M.; Douin, M.; Nguyen, D.T.; Wyckhuys, K. Phytoseiidae of Vietnam (Acari: Mesostigmata) with description of a new species. *Acarologia* 2020, *60*, 75–110. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, D.T. (Entomology Department, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Trau Quy, Gia Lam, Hanoi, Vietnam). Identification and biological control potential of phytoseiid predatory mites against thrips and spider mite pests in Vietnam, 2016–2018 (phase: Unpublished work).
- 18. Fathipour, Y.; Maleknia, B. Mite predators. In *Ecofriendly Pest Management for Food Security*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; Volume 11, pp. 329–366.
- 19. Holling, C.S. The components of predation as revealed by a study of small mammal predation of the European pine sawfly. *Can. Entomol.* **1959**, *91*, 293–320. [CrossRef]
- 20. Holling, C.S. Some characteristics of simple types of predation and parasitism. Can. Entomol. 1959, 91, 385–398. [CrossRef]
- 21. Juliano, S.A. Nonlinear curve fitting: Predation and functional response curves. In *Design and Analysis of Ecological Experiments*, 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press: London, UK, 2001; pp. 178–196.
- 22. Nguyen, V.H.; Jonckheere, W.; Nguyen, D.T.; De Moraes, G.J.; Van Leeuwen, T.; De Clercq, P. Phytoseiid mites prey effectively on thrips eggs: Evidence from predation trials and molecular analyses. *Biol. Control* **2019**, *137*, 104012. [CrossRef]
- 23. Vangansbeke, D.; Pijnakker, J.; Arijs, Y.; Waeckers, F. Thrips egg predation by phytoseiids: An overlooked pest control mechanism. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.* **2018**, 124, 184–189.
- 24. World Bank. Climate Change Knowledge Portal. Available online: https://www.climatecentre.org/wp-content/uploads/RCCC-ICRC-Country-profiles-Region_Asia_Pacific.pdf (accessed on 1 October 2024).
- 25. Xiao, Y.; Fadamiro, H.Y. Functional responses and prey-stage preferences of three species of predacious mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on citrus red mite, *Panonychus citri* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biol. Control* **2010**, *53*, 345–352. [CrossRef]
- 26. Rogers, D. Random search and insect population models. J. Anim. Ecol. 1972, 41, 369–383. [CrossRef]
- 27. Beddington, J.R. Mutual interference between parasites or predators and its effect on searching efficiency. J. Anim. Ecol. 1975, 44, 331–340. [CrossRef]
- 28. Golsteyn, L.; Mertens, H.; Audenaert, J.; Verhoeven, R.; Gobin, B.; De Clercq, P. Intraguild Interactions between the Mealybug Predators Cryptolaemus montrouzieri and Chrysoperla carnea. *Insects* **2021**, *12*, 655. [CrossRef]
- 29. Madadi, H.; Enkegaard, A.; Brodsgaard, H.F.; Kharrazi-Pakdel, A.; Mohaghegh, J.; Ashouri, A. Host plant effects on the functional response of *Neoseiulus cucumeris* to onion thrips larvae. *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2007**, *131*, 728–733. [CrossRef]
- 30. Shipp, J.L.; Whitfield, G.H. Functional response of the predatory mite, *Amblyseius cucumeris* (Acari: Phytoseiidae), on western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Environ. Entomol.* **1991**, 20, 694–699. [CrossRef]
- 31. Yao, H.; Zheng, W.; Tariq, K.; Zhang, H. Functional and numerical responses of three species of predatory Phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to *Thrips flavidulus* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Neotrop. Entomol.* **2014**, *43*, 437–445. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lam, W.; Paynter, Q.; Zhang, Z.Q. Functional response of *Amblyseius herbicolus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) *on Sericothrips staphylinus* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), an ineffective biocontrol agent of gorse. *Biol. Control* **2021**, *152*, 104468. [CrossRef]
- Costa, É.C.; Teodoro, A.V.; Rêgo, A.S.; Pedro-Neto, M.; Sarmento, R.A. Functional response of *Euseius concordis* to densities of different developmental stages of the cassava green mite. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 2014, 64, 277–286. [CrossRef]
- 34. Afshar, F.R.; Latifi, M. Functional response and predation rate of *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) at three constant temperatures. *Persian J. Acarol.* 2017, *6*, 299–314.
- 35. Gotoh, T.; Yamaguchi, K.; Mori, K. Effect of temperature on life history of the predatory mite *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2004**, *32*, 15–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Li, G.Y.; Zhang, Z.Q. Can supplementary food (pollen) modulate the functional response of a generalist predatory mite (*Neoseiulus cucumeris*) to its prey (*Tetranychus urticae*)? *BioControl* 2020, *65*, 165–174. [CrossRef]
- 37. Ganjisaffar, F.; Perring, T.M. Prey stage preference and functional response of the predatory mite *Galendromus flumenis* to *Oligonychus pratensis*. *Biol. Control* **2015**, *85*, 40–45. [CrossRef]
- Mendes, J.A.; Lima, D.B.; Neto, E.P.D.S.; Gondim, M.G.C., Jr.; Melo, J.W.S. Functional response of *Amblyseius largoensis* to *Raoiella indica* eggs is mediated by previous feeding experience. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* 2018, 23, 1907–1914. [CrossRef]

- 39. Wijk, V.M.; De Bruijn, P.J.A.; Sabelis, M.W. Predatory mite attraction to herbivore-induced plant odors is not a consequence of attraction to individual herbivore-induced plant volatiles. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2008**, *34*, 791–803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Blackwood, J.S.; Schausberger, P.; Croft, B.A. Prey-Stage preference in generalist and specialist Phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) when offered *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) eggs and larvae. *Environ. Entomol.* **2001**, *30*, 1103–1111. [CrossRef]
- 41. Filgueiras, R.M.C.; Mendes, J.A.; Neto, E.P.S.; Monteiro, N.V.; Melo, J.W.S. *Neoseiulus barkeri* Hughes (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as a potential control agent for *Raoiella indica* Hirst (Acari: Tenuipalpidae). *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* **2020**, *25*, 593–606.
- 42. Kasap, I.; Atlihan, R. Consumption rate and functional response of the predaceous mite *Kampimodromus aberrans* to two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* in the laboratory. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2011**, *53*, 253–261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Messelink, G.J.; van Steenpaal, S.E.F.; Ramakers, P.M.J. Evaluation of phytoseiid predators for control of western flower thrips on greenhouse cucumber. *BioControl* 2006, *51*, 753–768. [CrossRef]
- 44. Dicke, M.; Baldwin, I.T. The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: Beyond the 'cry for help'. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2010**, *15*, 167–175. [CrossRef]
- 45. Dicke, M. Herbivore-induced plant volatiles as a rich source of information for arthropod predators: Fundamental and applied aspects. J. Indian Inst. Sci. 2015, 95, 35–42.
- Shimoda, T.; Ozawa, R.; Sano, K.; Yano, E.; Takabayashi, J. The involvement of volatile infochemicals from spider mites and from food plants in prey location of the generalist predatory mite *Neoseiulus californicus*. J. Chem. Ecol. 2005, 31, 2019–2032. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Takabayashi, J.; Dicke, M.; Posthumus, M.A. Volatile herbivore-induced terpenoids in plant-mite interactions: Variation caused by biotic and abiotic factors. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **1994**, *20*, 1329–1354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Drukker, B.; Bruin, J.; Jacobs, G.; Kroon, A.; Sabelis, M.W. How predatory mites learn to cope with variability in volatile plant signals in the environment of their herbivorous prey. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2000**, *24*, 881–895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Janssen, A.; Hofker, C.; Braun, A. Preselecting predatory mites for biological control: The use of an olfactometer. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **1990**, *80*, 177–181. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, H.; Fadini, M.; Venzon, M. Evaluation of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus macropilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as a biological control agent of the two-spotted spider mite on strawberry plants under greenhouse conditions. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 2009, 47, 275–283. [CrossRef]
- 51. Martini, X.; Guvvala, H.; Nansen, C. The search behavior of omnivorous thrips larvae is influenced by spider mite cues. *J. Insect Behav.* 2015, *28*, 593–603. [CrossRef]
- 52. Schausberger, P.; Seiter, M.; Raspotnig, G. Innate and learned responses of foraging predatory mites to polar and non-polar fractions of thrips' chemical cues. *Biol. Control* **2020**, *151*, 104371. [CrossRef]
- 53. Li, Q.; Cui, Q.; Jiang, C.X.; Wang, H.J.; Yang, Q.F. Control efficacy of Chinese *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) population on *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisduval). *Acta Phytophyl. Sin.* **2014**, *41*, 257–262.
- 54. Beretta, G.M.; Zandbergen, L.; Deere, J.A.; Messelink, G.J.; Cárdenas, K.M.; Janssen, A. Predator-prey interactions: How thrips avoid predation. *Biol. Control* 2024, *188*, 105437. [CrossRef]
- 55. Sabelis, M.W.; Van Rijn, P.C.J. Predation by Insects and Mites; CAB International: London, UK, 1997; pp. 259–354.
- 56. Reis, P.R.; Teodoro, A.V.; Neto, M.P. Predatory activity of Phytoseiid mites on the developmental stages of coffee ringspot mite (Acari: Phytoseiidae: Tenuipalpidae). *Biol. Control* **2000**, *29*, 547–553. [CrossRef]
- 57. Soleymani, S.; Hakimitabar, M.; Seiedy, M. Prey preference of predatory mite *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) and *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *26*, 562–569. [CrossRef]
- Song, Z.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, B.; Li, D. Prey consumption and functional response of *Neoseiulus californicus* and *Neoseiulus longispinosus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* and *Tetranychus kanzawai* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* 2016, 21, 936–946.
- 59. Devasia, J.; Ramani, N. Observations on the feeding preference of the phytoseiid predator, *Neoseiulus longispinosus* (Evans) on the different life stages of the spider mite, *Oligonychu sbiharensis* (Hirst). *Int. J. Acarol.* **2020**, *46*, 401–404. [CrossRef]
- 60. Menon, A.; Flinn, P.W.; Dover, B.A. Influence of temperature on the functional response of *Anisopteromalus calandrae* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae), a parasitoid of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleopeta: Bostrichidae). *J. Stored Prod. Res.* **2002**, *38*, 463–469. [CrossRef]
- 61. Rall, B.C.; Vucic-Pestic, O.; Ehnes, R.B.; Emmerson, M.; Brose, U. Temperature, predator-prey interaction strength and population stability. *Glob. Change Biol.* **2010**, *16*, 2145–2157. [CrossRef]
- 62. Stavrinides, M.C.; Mills, N.J. Influence of temperature on the reproductive and demographic parameters of two spider mite pests of vineyards and their natural predator. *BioControl* 2011, *56*, 315–325. [CrossRef]
- 63. Ahn, J.J.; Kim, K.W.; Lee, J.H. Functional response of *Neoseiulus californicus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on strawberry leaves. *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2010**, *134*, 98–104. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.