Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization

Program guide, audit checklist, and FAQ

V2.61 checklist

Valid August 12, 2024 - June 30, 2025

Program updates and announcements

Module B – Dec 2, 2024
No changes to Module B have been made.

Module B – Sep 6, 2024
V2.61 has now been released. There has been an update to evidence controls for Analytics in the Azure Landing Zone

- Control 2.1 Solution Design Azure Landing Zone has a new waiver for evidence control bullets 2 and 3. This corelates with the Module A Azure Landing zone requirements for Analytics.

Module B – Aug 12, 2024
The following changes have been made to the V2.6 Analytics checklist, these are required

- Control 2.1 Analytics Service has been updated to include Data analysis using Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks, **OR** Microsoft Fabric **OR** Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Datawarehouse).
- Control 2.3 Proof of Concept Analytics Service has been updated to include Data analysis using Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks, **OR** Microsoft Fabric **OR** Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Datawarehouse).
- Control 3.1 Deployment Analytics Service has been updated to include Data analysis using Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks, **OR** Microsoft Fabric **OR** Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL

Please note new price schedule July 1, 2024

Module A - June 12, 2024
The new Module A checklist is required August 12, 2024. These are the changes made to the controls:

- Control 1.1 Cloud Adoption Business Strategy now refers to FinOps rather than Economics and has provided an updated template link for a FinOps Assessment
- Control 2.1 Cloud Adoption Plan has provided updated evidence clarification
- Control 3.1 Repeatable Deployment has provided updated control clarification and provided updated links to templates mentioned
- Control 3.1 Repeatable Deployment – A special Evidence Note for Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only. If no Identity or Networking components are deployed in the Azure Landing Zone, a documented focus on Resource organization attributes is sufficient to pass this control
- Control 4.1 Governance Tooling has provided an updated link to current Governance tools
- Control 5.1 Operations Management Tooling for Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only: If no Operations Management Tooling is deployed, this control may be skipped

Module B – May 7, 2024
A current Data Warehouse Migration to Microsoft Azure Specialization will provide an Analytics Module B Waiver to onboard if qualified

If the partner can provide evidence of current enrollment in the Data Warehouse Migration to Microsoft Azure Specialization, and they meet the prerequisites (Performance ACR, Knowledge certifications and Solution Partner (Data and AI designation) for the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization, this will be considered a waiver into the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization. The partner will be enrolled in Analytics for one (1) year, requiring an audit on renewal in year two (2). To take advantage of this, apply for the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization in Partner Center. The Azure team will take care of the enrollment.

Microsoft

Module B – Jan 1, 2024

The V2.5 Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization checklist is published. This is required for audits Jan 1 – June 30, 2024. Changes to V2.5 from the V2.4 checklist include simplification of Module B Control 2.1 (Solution design) has added Fabric Data Factory in the ingestion engine control evidence, Microsoft OneLake to the Data storage control evidence and Data Analytics analysis options of Azure Synapse

Analytics OR Azure Databricks, OR Microsoft Fabric or Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Datawarehouse) for acceptable evidence. Control 2.3 (Proof of Concept or Pilot) and Control 3.1 (Deployment) now allow use of Azure Synapse Analytics OR Azure Databricks, OR Microsoft Fabric OR Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Data Warehouse), rather than requiring the use of all three in the same evidence controls.

- With this change, **three (3)** unique customers are now required for these controls over 24 months, rather than one (1) unique customer.

Module B - Dec 1, 2023

The V2.5 Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization checklist was made available for preview.
SQL Data warehouse has been renamed Dedicated SQL Pool in relevant controls

Module A - October 1, 2023

Azure Active Directory has been renamed Microsoft Entra ID

August 28, 2023

The Microsoft Cloud Partner Program has changed its name to the Microsoft AI Cloud Partner Program effective immediately

Module B - July 5, 2023

V2.4 Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization checklist is published. This checklist version is required for audits during July 5, 2023- Jan 1, 2024. No control changes were made in V2.4 from V2.3 checklist

Azure Innovate has been added for this Azure specialization in program benefits.

Module B - Jan 2, 2023

V2.3 Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization audit checklist is published. This checklist version is required Jan 2, 2023 - July 5, 2023

Module B - Dec 5, 2022

The PREVIEW for V2.3 Analytics on Microsoft Azure Specialization was made available for partners. This checklist version is required Jan 2, 2023
- There are no new Module A or B Control updates
- FAQ clarification that a "No Pass" results when a partner fails or withdraws from the audit

Module B - Oct 3, 2022

Microsoft retired Gold Cloud partner competency, Solutions partner designation required
Gold and Silver competencies are retired and replaced with Solutions Partner designations. For this specialization, your organization must have an active Solutions Partner for Data & AI (Azure) designation.

Microsoft

Module A - July 1, 2022

Updates published May 2, 2022, in preview are now required

In Control 2.2, a new Skilling Plan has been added to the checklist. This is required July 1, 2022.

Module A - May 2, 2022

The partner Preview for the updated Module A Cloud Foundation checklist was made available

Module B - May 2, 2022

1. Azure Synapse Link has been added for optional use in Module B controls 2.1 Design, 2.3 PoC and 3.1 Deployment
2. Updated guidance for the definition of Proof of Concept and Pilots has been added to the FAQ

Contents

Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization Program Overview

This document defines the requirements to earn the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization. It also provides further requirements, guidelines, and audit checklists for the associated audits required to earn this Azure specialization.

The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization is designed for partners to demonstrate their deep knowledge, extensive experience, and proven success in planning and deploying Azure cloud analytics services for customers. Such partners empower their customers to use Azure cloud analytics services to realize the full breadth of their data assets and to build transformative, secure analytical solutions at enterprise scale.

The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization allows partners with an active Solutions partner designation to further differentiate their organizations, demonstrate their Analytics capabilities, and build stronger connections with customers. For this specialization, an active Solutions Partner for Data & AI (Azure) designation is required.

Partners will receive a Pass or No Pass result upon completion of the audit process. A Pass result satisfies the audit requirement for this Azure specialization for two (2) years. See the Partner FAQ for renewal information.

How to apply

Partners with the appropriate role and access permissions can apply. Only a Microsoft AI Cloud Partner Account Administrator or a Global Administrator of an organization's Microsoft partner account can submit an application for the Azure specialization on behalf of the organization.

To do so, they sign into their Partner Center account. On the left pane, select Azure under the **Specialization section**. Toggle to the specialization that you wish to apply for by using the drop-down menu at the top of the page.

NDAs for the audit

Auditors comply with requests from partners to sign a direct NDA. All ISSI auditors are under a nondisclosure agreement (NDA) with Microsoft. If a partner would like an NDA to be signed directly between ISSI and the partner organization for purposes of the audit, one can be provided by the partner during the audit scheduling process to ISSI. ISSI will sign and return it.

Payment terms and conditions

Pricing schedule July 1, 2024

Module B Audit: $2,400 USD

Module A+B Audits: $3,600 USD

A Gap Review Meeting is included with each Module audit.

Payment terms

The cost of the audit is payable in full to the audit company and must be settled before the audit begins. Failure to pay will result in cancellation of the audit.

Program status term

When a partner meets all prerequisite requirements shown in Partner Center and Microsoft receives a valid Pass Report from the third-party audit company, the partner will be awarded the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization for one (1) calendar year.

The status and the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization label can be used only by the organization (determined by Partner Center MPN PGA ID account) and any associated locations (determined by MPN PLA ID) that met all requirements and passed the audit. Any subsidiary or affiliated organizations represented by separate Partner Center accounts (MPN PGA ID) may not advertise the status or display the associated label.

Audit blueprint

Audits are evidence-based. During the audit, partners will be expected to present evidence they have met the specific requirements on the checklist. This involves providing the auditor with access to live demonstrations, documents, and SME personnel to demonstrate compliance with checklist requirements.

The audit checklist will be updated to stay current with technology and market changes, and the audit is conducted by an independent, third-party auditor.

The following is included in the audit blueprint:

1. Audit Roles
2. Audit Process: High level overview
3. Audit Process: Details
4. Audit Best practices and resources

Audit roles

Role of the auditor

The auditor reviews submitted evidence and objectively assesses whether the evidence provided by the partner satisfies the audit checklist requirements.

The auditor selects and evaluates evidence, based on samples of the information available from live systems. The appropriate use of such sampling is closely related to the confidence that can be placed in the audit conclusions. All ISSI auditors are under a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) with Microsoft. Auditors will also comply with requests from partners to sign a direct NDA.

Role of the partner

The partner must provide objective evidence that satisfies the auditor for all checklist items. It is the responsibility of the partner to have reviewed all check-list items prior to the audit, to have collected all necessary documentation and evidence, and to have ensured that the right subject matter experts are available to discuss and show systems, as appropriate. All audit evidence must be reproducible and verifiable.

Role of the Microsoft Partner Development Manager

For partners that have an assigned Microsoft Partner Development Manager (PDM), the PDM is responsible for ensuring that the partner fully understands the requirements prior to applying for the audit. The PDM may attend the optional consulting engagements that ISSI offers, but the PDM and other Microsoft FTEs may not attend the audit.

Audit Process: High-level overview

Step	Action	Responsibility
1	**Review:** specialization requirements in Partner Center. Review audit checklist in the specialization and begin to prepare needed evidence with personnel for an evidence-based audit. Recommended: Before you apply, review the specific audit checklist thoroughly and confirm SME personnel.	Partner
2	**Meet the prerequisites and apply for the audit:** In the initial application phase, applications are submitted in two (2) stages: 1. Prerequisite requirements (see Partner Center for details) 2. Audit Do not start the application process unless you are ready to undertake the audit. Assess your firm's ability to complete the audit, including considerations for readiness, employee availability, and holidays.	Partner
3	**Validate:** The partner meets all requirements prior to audit.	Microsoft
4	**Confirmed by Microsoft:** Microsoft confirms to the third-party audit company that the partner is eligible for audit.	Microsoft
5	**Schedule with partner:** The auditor will schedule within two (2) business days.	Auditor (with partner)
6	**Conduct the audit:** Within thirty (30) calendar days of the approval for audit.	Auditor
7	**Provide a Gap Report:** If applicable, to the partner within two (2) business days of the completed audit, listing any Open Action Items.*	Auditor
8	**Acknowledge Gap Report receipt and schedule meeting:** Within two (2) business days of receiving the Gap Report, the partner acknowledges receipt of the report and schedules a Gap Review Meeting. Partners can begin immediate remediation of open items.	Partner
9	**Complete the meeting:** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving the Gap Report, the partner schedules and completes the Gap Review Meeting with the auditor to provide evidence and address any Open Action Items.*	Auditor (with partner)
10	**Issue Final Report:** To the partner within five (5) business days. Notify Microsoft of audit Pass or No Pass result.	Auditor
11	**Notify the partner:** About program status within two (2) business days.	Microsoft

These steps will be skipped if the partner has no Open Action Items after the audit.

Microsoft

Audit Process: Details

Microsoft uses an independent third-party audit company, Information Security Systems International, LLC (ISSI), to schedule and conduct Azure specialization audits. After the audit date has been confirmed, ISSI will provide an agenda to the partner. The duration of an audit is four (4) hours for Module B workloads and eight (8) hours for Module A+B audits combined, depending upon the scope of the audit. During the audit, the partner must provide access to the appropriate personnel who can discuss and disclose evidence that demonstrates compliance with program requirements. We highly recommend that subject matter experts for each section attend as well as a person who is familiar with the entire audit.

On the day of the audit, the partner must be prepared to provide the auditor with access to live demonstrations, documents, and personnel, as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the requirements. During the audit, the auditor will seek to verify that the partner's evidence has addressed all required audit checklist items satisfactorily.

A note on audit checklist effective dates: Partners are audited against the checklist items that are active on the date of their remote audit, not the date they apply. Audits are updated twice annually. The partner application or renewal date has no bearing on the version of the checklist that is used for the audit. The audit can produce either of two (2) outcomes:

1. The partner passes the audit.
   - The auditor will present a brief synopsis of the audit. This will include identifying observed strengths and opportunities for improvement.
   - The auditor will provide a Final Report to the partner.
   - The auditor will notify Microsoft.

2. The partner does not satisfy all checklist items during the audit.
   - The auditor will present a brief synopsis of the audit at the end of the day, including observed strengths and Open Action Items, as outlined in the Gap Report, within two (2) business days.
   - The partner will acknowledge receipt of the Gap Report within two (2) business days.
   - The partner will move into the Gap Review phase and schedule their Gap Review Meeting within fifteen (15) calendar days.

The Gap Review

If the partner does not, to the auditor's satisfaction, provide evidence that meets the required scores across all audit categories during the audit, the partner will move into a Gap Review. A Gap Review is part of the audit and completes the process. Within two (2) business days after the audit, the partner will receive a Gap Report, which details any Open Action Items and the outstanding required evidence. It is suggested to begin remediation on any open action items as soon as possible following the audit.

The partner then has two (2) business days to acknowledge receipt of the Gap Report and schedule a Gap Review Meeting. The Gap Review Meeting is conducted with the auditor over the partner's virtual conference platform of choice. The meeting must take place within fifteen (15) calendar days of when the Gap Report was sent, and it may last no longer than one (1) hour. During the Gap Review Meeting the partner must present evidence that addresses any and all Open Action Items.

The Gap Review Meeting can produce either of two (2) outcomes:

1. The partner resolves all Open Action Items.
   - The auditor confirms that the partner has provided the required evidence.
   - The auditor provides a Final Report to the partner.
   - The auditor notifies Microsoft about the outcome (subject to Auditor Terms and Conditions).

2. The partner does not resolve all Open Action Items.
   - The auditor presents a brief synopsis of the audit, including missed items.
   - The partner receives a Final Report that details the missed items.
   - The auditor notifies Microsoft about the outcome (subject to Auditor Terms and Conditions).

If the partner is still unable to provide satisfactory evidence to the auditor during their Gap Review Meeting, the partner will be deemed to have failed the audit. Partners that still want to earn this Azure specialization will need to begin the application process again.

Completion of the audit

The audit process concludes when ISSI issues the Final Report after the audit or after the Gap Review. Partners will be awarded a Pass or No Pass result upon completion of the audit process, including if they withdraw from the audit process. At the conclusion of the audit process, the auditor will issue a Final Report to the partner and notify Microsoft of the pass or no pass result. A Pass result satisfies the audit requirement for this Azure specialization for two (2) years. A "No Pass" result is generated when a partner fails or withdraws from the audit. When a No Pass result is entered into Partner Center, you will see your status as "Audit Failed" in your dashboard. This status will reset within one week to "Not Enrolled," allowing you to reapply. Contact Partner Center Support if needed.

Audit preparation best practices and resources

Partners should ensure that the audit checklist has been thoroughly read in advance of the audit

- Partners should ensure that all partner stakeholders involved have a copy of the audit checklist and that a stakeholder who knows the entire process is available for the duration of the audit
- Partners should confirm that they have live access granted, and files and tools are readily available during the audit exhibits

Stakeholders SME attendance in the audit

Stakeholders who can best address the relevant section should be available for the audit. However, please make sure that a stakeholder who knows the entire process is available for the duration of the audit.

Auditors often probe for more information

The auditor probes for more information to ensure that mature and repeatable processes are in place with the partner and that they are established, effective, and efficient. The auditor is looking to see how a document was created, where it is located, and what source materials were used to create the document. By probing for more information, the auditor evaluates and validates that the partner is operating at an advanced level. This can only be done by questioning during the audit. This approach is explained to the partner during the opening meeting.

Acceptable evidence: Excerpts, exhibit file formats and use of PowerPoints

PowerPoints are a common and accepted format for presenting a high-level overview of a partner's systems. However, please also be prepared to present live demonstrations from source files so that the auditor may confirm that the systems in place are mature and effective. Excerpts can be used to communicate the high-level overview but are not acceptable evidence, source documents must be presented.

*Additional resources: Two optional audit preparation offers from the auditing firm **

To ensure objectivity, consulting auditors and auditors conducting the actual audits are different ISSI auditors.

1. Partners can participate in an <u>optional</u>, one (1)-hour, live Audit Process & Controls Overview session provided by ISSI. This session provides a high-level overview of key aspects of the Azure Specialization audit process. The session includes a discussion of the checklist requirements along with best practices to help partners prepare for the audit. Partners work directly with ISSI to schedule this remote session (via online web conference). For more information about this session, see https://www.issi-inc.com/services/process-and-controls-overview

2. ISSI also provides <u>optional</u> extensive, in-depth consulting engagements to help partners prepare for their Azure specialization audit. Partners work directly with ISSI to schedule this remote session (via online web conference). For more information about this type of in-depth engagement, see Azure Specialization Consulting Offer https://www.issi-inc.com/services/audit-readiness-preparation

* Please note that there is a cost associated with the consulting and audit preparations services. See Payment Terms and Conditions.

Audit checklists

The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization audit checklist contains two (2) modules, **Module A:** Cloud Foundation and **Module B**: The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization workload. Module A, The Cloud Foundation module evaluates the use of a consistent methodology and process for Azure adoption that is aligned with customers' expected outcomes, spanning the entire cloud adoption lifecycle. Module B, The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization workload audit validates that the partner has adopted robust processes to ensure customer success across all phases when deploying analytic solutions, from the assessment phase to design, pilot, implementation, and post- implementation phases.

Review the following audit checklist tables for more details about each control phase and to learn how the partner will be evaluated for an audit. The same customers may be used for Module A & B. The estimated length of both modules together is eight (8) hours.

Module A: Cloud Foundation
1. Strategy
2. Plan
3. Environment readiness and Azure landing zone
4. Governance
5. Manage

Module B: Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization workload
1. Assess
2. Design and Proof of Concept (POC)/Pilot
3. Deployment
4. Review and Release for Operations

To pass each module audit, the partner must complete all audit checklist items.

Module A, **Cloud Foundation** is required for multiple Azure specializations. Module A evaluates the use of a consistent methodology and process for Azure adoption that is aligned with customers' expected outcomes, spanning the entire cloud adoption lifecycle. Module A is part of the Module B specialization audit package, and as a requirement must be renewed by audit for all Azure specializations.

To complete or renew Module A, the partner needs to pass all controls in Module A by providing the specified evidence or providing evidence of a recent (within two years) Module A+B Pass result. The relevant date for each partner is the Module B Anniversary Date (AD) shown in Partner Center.

To waiver out of Module A, the partner must provide evidence of a recent (within two years) Pass result for an applicable A+B audit or a Pass result for the AEMSP Control 3.A within the last year.

Microsoft

Module A waivers:

All Azure Specializations: When applying to renew subsequent Azure specializations, a previous Module A +B audit Pass result will satisfy the requirements for Module A if the result has been within two (2) years and is on the same Module A version. (Module A updates every two years in July). Partners who have passed an A+B Azure specialization audit within the last two years have satisfied the requirements for Module A in all Module A+B Azure specialization audits, unless otherwise noted. The relevant Module B Anniversary Date (AD) is shown in Partner Center.

Special note: Partners who have passed a Module B Azure specialization audit before July 1, 2021, and specifically for the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization before Oct 1, 2021, have likely not passed the Module A audit and will need to do so to qualify for an Azure Module B specialization audit.

AEMSP: Partners who have passed Azure Expert MSP V1.9 and later Module 3.0 (in Full and Progress audits) have satisfied the requirements for Module A in all Module A+B Azure specialization audits, unless otherwise noted. AEMSP Partners audit yearly to stay enrolled, and Module 3.A Cloud Adoption Framework is also a yearly control requirement.

Special note: Partners who sequentially waiver out of Module A in multiple Module A+B audits and then subsequently waiver out of AEMSP Module 3.A within a two-year timeline will likely be required to take a Module A audit at Module A+B renewal.

If there are questions regarding a potential waiver for Module A, reach out to the Azure Partner Specializations <azureAS@microsoft.com>

Module B, The Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization workload. Each control has **one (1)** or more requirements with required evidence the partner must provide for the auditor.

Both the requirements and the required evidence are defined in the following tables. For some controls, a reference customer or customer evidence is the documentation requested. Unless otherwise stated, the partner must show at least show at least **three (3)** unique customers with recent deployments required within the last **twenty-four (24)** months. Please note some checklists call for four (4) customer examples or have shorter timelines for evidence. The partner can use the same customer across audit checklist controls, or they can use a different customer. For audit evidence relating to customer engagements, the partner can use a customer case study and reference it multiple times. The same or different customers can be used for Modules A & B if they demonstrate requirements.

Microsoft

Module A: Cloud Foundation

1.0 Strategy and FinOps
The partner must have a defined approach for helping their customer evaluate and define a cloud adoption strategy beyond an individual asset (app, VM, or data).
Requirement

1.1	**Cloud Adoption Business Strategy**
	The partner must have a defined process that captures the data-driven business strategies being used to guide customer decisions. The process should include, at minimum, the following:
	1. A strategy review that captures the customer's business needs and the problems the customer is trying to solve.
	2. Personalized recommendations from the partner for the customers' business strategies.
	Required evidence:
	A Report, Presentation, or Documented Plan that captures strategic inputs and decisions for **two (2)** unique customers, and that demonstrate the Azure Cloud Adoption Business decisions for the Azure Cloud Framework, by using the Cloud Adoption Strategy Evaluator (CASE) assessment output.
	These projects should have been completed in the past **twelve (12)** months. The projects must be aligned with the above-described processes 1 and 2 and highlight both customer Business and FinOps (Financial) outcomes.
	For an example, see the Cloud Adoption Strategy Evaluator, Strategy and plan templates in the Cloud Adoption Framework for Azure, and especially the FinOps Assessment best practices in Build.

Microsoft

2.0 Plan
The partner must have a consistent approach to planning for cloud adoption that is based on the strategy outlined in the preceding section.
Requirement

2.1	**Cloud Adoption Plan**	
	The partner must have a process and approach for planning and tracking the completion of cloud adoption projects.	
	Required evidence:	
	The partner must provide evidence of their capability for process and approach to planning and completion with examples of **two (2)** unique customer projects that were completed in the past **twelve (12)** months.	
	Acceptable evidence will include at least **one (1)** of the following for each customer:	
	• Azure DevOps backlog **OR**	
	• Tools for project planning and tracking used by the partner **OR**	
	• Cloud Adoption Plan Generator output using the Azure Cloud Adoption Framework	
2.2	**Plan for Skilling**	
	When customers adopt the cloud, their existing technical staff will need a variety of new skills to aid in making technical decisions and to support the new cloud implementations. To ensure the long- term success of the customer, the partner must document a skilling plan to prepare the customer's technical staff.	
	The Partner must document a list of key customer technical roles expected to require new skills such as, but not limited to, IT Admins, IT Governance, IT Operations, and IT Security.	
	The documentation must include:	
	1. A description of the new skills the technical roles will need to achieve to successfully manage the new environment.	
	2. Resources the customer can leverage when training their technical employees such as Microsoft learning paths, technical certifications, or other comparable resources.	
	For guidance, review Microsoft docs Azure Cloud Adoption Framework How to build a skilling readiness plan.	
	Required evidence:	
	The partner must provide a skilling plan for at least **two (2)** unique customer engagements completed within the last **twelve (12)** months. The **two (2)** skilling plans documented can include a customer-facing presentation, planning documents, post deployment documentation or similar plan documentation.	

Microsoft

3.0 Environment Readiness and Azure Landing Zone
The partner must be able to demonstrate that the following design areas are addressed through their approach to landing zone implementation.
Requirement

3.1	**Repeatable Deployment**
	The partner must demonstrate adherence to Azure landing zone (ALZ) design areas through a repeatable deployment. The deployment should configure, at minimum, the following identity, network, and resource organization attributes:
	
	• Identity
	   o Adoption of identity management solutions, such as Microsoft Entra ID (formerly Azure Active Directory) or equivalent
	
	• Networking architecture design (topology)
	   o [Define an Azure network topology - Cloud Adoption Framework
		Microsoft Docs](#)
		   o Application of hybrid architectures that use Azure ExpressRoute, VPN Gateway, or equivalent services for connecting local datacenters to Azure
		
		• Resource organization
		   o Implementation of tagging and naming standards during the project

Audit checklist continues next page

Microsoft

| 3.1 | The partner must demonstrate which of the following approaches they used when they deployed Azure landing zones for **two (2)** unique customers:
| | 1. Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation.
| | 2. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance and operations tools prior to implementation.
| | 3. Alternative approach: If the partner follows a proprietary approach or a mixture of the **two (2)** approaches above, the partner must clearly articulate their approach to environment configuration.
| | 4. Brownfield scenario: The partner's customer has a landing zone that does not follow best practices, and an update is required to follow best practices in the Cloud Adoption Framework.
| | **Required evidence:**
| | The partner must provide evidence of a repeatable deployment they used to create landing zones, aligned to the Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture, deployed to **two (2)** unique customer environments using Bicep or Terraform modules, and ARM (AZURE Resource Manager) templates to automatically deploy the environment configuration.
| | If a customer deviates from the specified architecture, the partner must demonstrate the customer requirements to justify the deviation.
| | The provided template can be pulled directly from the Cloud Adoption Framework Landing zone implementation options, or it can be based on the partner's own IP (Intellectual Property).
| | In either case, the output evidence must demonstrate the configuration of the identity, network, and resource organization, as described earlier above.
| | **Special Evidence Note:**
| | For Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only: If no Identity or Networking components are deployed in the Azure Landing Zone, a documented focus on Resource organization attributes is sufficient to pass this control.

4.0 Governance
The partner must demonstrate their customer's role in governing cloud-based solutions and the Azure tools they use to facilitate any governance requirements their customer might have today or in the future.

Requirement	
4.1	**Governance Tooling** The partner must demonstrate the ability to deploy the required governance tools for **two (2)** unique customer projects. **Required evidence:** The partner must demonstrate the use of Azure Policy to provide controls to govern the environment for **two (2)** unique customers with Azure projects that were completed in the past **twelve (12)** months. See governance tools for templates.

5.0 Manage
The partner must demonstrate that they have set up their customers for operational success after the deployment is completed. All partners have a role in setting up operations management, even if they do not provide long-term managed services.

Requirement	
5.1	**Operations Management Tooling** The partner must demonstrate the use of Azure products or equivalent to help their customer and/or managed service provider operate the environment after deployment. **Required evidence:** The partner must demonstrate the deployment of at least **one (1)** of the following Azure products or third-party equivalents: Azure Monitor, Azure Automation, or Azure Backup/Site Recovery, for **two (2)** unique customers with projects that were completed in the past **twelve (12)** months. **Special Evidence Note**: For Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only: If no Operations Management Tooling is deployed, this control may be skipped.

Microsoft

Module B: Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization workload

1.0 Assess
Partners must have a consistent approach for assessing customer requirements for Analytics solution.
Requirement

1.1	**Analytics Portfolio Assessment**
	
	Partners must demonstrate how they assess current state and customer requirements to ensure adequate pre- migration or pre-deployment planning and sizing are performed. Assessment must include areas specific to deploying analytics solutions:
	
	• **Business need:**
	    o Current pain points, challenges, and end user needs
	    o Product fit and gaps to identify which product will best serve the customer's needs
	    o Data storage needs from a business standpoint – volume, type, location, current state vs future state
	    o Data governance and compliance
	    o Budget
	
	• **Application Landscape:**
	    o Current infrastructure or greenfield physical infrastructure
	    o Logical architecture and requirements for migration, if applicable
	    o Information for all applications, services, and software-as-a-service BI platforms (for example, PowerBI.com or Tableau online).
	
	• **Performance Benchmarks:**
	  Assess and document application performance requirements and data transfer requirements.
	
	• **User Personas:**
	    o Document user roles, how each will use the data, how each will access the data
	    o Stakeholder engagement across business user roles, BI, analytics and/or data science roles

Microsoft

1.1	**Data needs:** At the workload level, assess which data needs must be fulfilled to meet stated business requirements around data such as: - Type of data, volume, speed that will influence the technology required - Data centralization - Classification and risk of data involved - Identify data sources (on-premises, AWS, Google, etc.) and destination (data storage on Azure). - Identify who or what should consume the data **Networking:** Existing infrastructure/networking components that will connect to Azure to move data and current applications. **Security and Compliance needs:** Identity and access management, role-based access control, encryption, industry, and geography- centric compliance requirements, if applicable **Availability, resiliency, and disaster recovery needs:** - Customer expectations once moved to Azure - Expected demand and scalability - Uptime and SLAs **Required evidence:** Partner should provide relevant design <u>documents</u> with evidence of the above items being reviewed from at least **three (3)** unique customers with completed analytics deployments within the last **twenty-four (24)** months (partner must show that all assessment details above were considered for that customer). Assessments may be done manually or through an industry- accepted assessment tool. **<u>Accepted Documentation</u>**: Assessment Report, Assessment Checklist, Templates, Questionnaires, Project Plan, Data Migration Assistant (DMA) Reports, or other Third-party Tooling Reports.

Microsoft

2.0 Design and Proof of Concept (PoC) or Pilot
Partners have robust methodologies for designing the workload.
Requirement

2.1 **Solution Design**

Partners must provide solution designs showing a consistent approach that addresses customer requirements captured from the assessment phase. Solution design must show areas specific to deploying Analytics solutions:

- **User Roles:** User roles required to deploy the analytics solution (ETL users, analysts, developer, report designer, data scientists, etc.) and establish role-based access.
- **Data Source:** Identify all data sources and file types to be ingested.
- **Data Migration approach**: Outline of the migration approach to be used for the data, if applicable.

- **Ingestion Engine:** Identify the use of a data ingestion engine to extract, transform, load, and clean data. Ingestion engines include but are not limited to native products such as Azure Data Factory, Fabric Data Factory, Informatica, Data Stage, and Azure Databricks.
- **Data Storage:** Identify storage type for the ingested data. Data storage can include but is not limited to native products such as Azure Blob, Azure Data Lake, Azure Data Warehouse, Azure Synapse or OneLake.
- **Encryption Method:** Identify data encryption approach. Data encryption methodology can include but is not limited to Transparent Data Encryption (TDE), masking and Azure Key Vault.
- **Analytics Service:** Data analysis using: Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks, **OR** Microsoft Fabric **OR** Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Datawarehouse).
- **Data Reporting and Visualization:** Identify use of the analytics data using data reporting tools including (but not limited to) Power BI, Tableau, MicroStrategy, etc.
- **DevOps:** Considerations for applying DevOps principles:
    - Specify source depot (Visual Studio, Git Repository, etc.)
    - Coding language
    - Redesign code or plan for backward compatibility
    - Code deployment process.

- **Azure Landing Zone:** Landing zone design must demonstrate how the design considers analytics- specific constraints, the following must be demonstrated:

    1. Regional Planning: Document regional availability of data centers and services.
    2. Network and Infrastructure Providers: Document regional availability of networks, providers, and hardware required to deploy.
    3. Networking, Network Security Groups, Identity and Access Methods: Identify the use of secure networking for data migration and storage including firewall, networking security groups, VNet and subnet.
    4. Implementation evidence of Identity and Access Management (IAM) and Role Based Access Control (RBAC), data sovereignty & encryption, application security, auditing.
    5. Establish a Hub-Spoke architecture or retrofit the existing deployment to separate out the network components of a Hub for optimal performance and security.
    6. Use of Security products such as Azure Security Services, M365Security, or other security solutions to secure access to the data.
    7. Use of governance tooling to support cost optimization across the environment. After estimating the initial cost, set budgets and alerts at different scopes to proactively monitor the cost.
    8. Use of backup and recovery solutions to ensure data retention.

Microsoft

9. Environment must meet requirements for regulatory compliance in the new environment, where applicable, such as GDPR and HIPAA, and implementation through multiple datacenter regions, as needed.
10. Use of a monitoring solution to provide proactive remediation for the Azure environment, which is integrated into the customer's existing monitoring tooling, if appropriate.
11. Use of visualization and alerting considerations for solutions, where appropriate.
12. Demonstrate how this deployment will use the operations baseline to help the customer maintain data ingress, data governance, high availability of data, and solution optimization.
13. Risk: Addresses the migration risk assessment and mitigation, if applicable.

Note 1: If the landing zone was implemented by a customer or another partner, the Analytics partner must review the landing zone against the above points and provide as evidence documented recommended changes to the customer for any items that do not meet these requirements.

Note 2: For this checklist, if no Identity or Networking components are deployed in the Azure Landing Zone, a documented focus and demonstration of Resource organizational attributes is sufficient to demonstrate bullets 2 and 3 above.

Required evidence:
Partners should provide relevant solution design documents that address the points above, from at least **three (3)** unique customers with Azure Analytics projects completed within the past **twenty-four (24)** months.

Acceptable Documentation: Project plans, Functional specifications, Architectural diagrams, Automated tooling reports, Physical and logical diagrams.

Microsoft

2.2	**Azure Well Architected Review of Workloads**
	The partner must demonstrate usage of the [Azure Analytics Well-Architected Review](#) for Azure analytics specialization. The Azure Well-Architected Review is designed to help partners evaluate your customers' workloads against the latest set of industry best practices. It provides actionable guidance to design and improve your customers' workloads.
	The Review can be used to evaluate each workload against the pillars of the Azure Well-Architected Framework that matter to that workload.
	Required evidence:
	Unless otherwise specified, Reviews may be conducted before, during, or after deployment. The partner must provide exported results from the completed Microsoft Well Architected Review using the assessments in the Azure Well-Architected Review. Reviews must be conducted within the last **twelve (12) months**, for **three (3) analytics** workloads indicating the customer's name. This can come from **one (1)** or more customers.
2.3	**Proof of Concept or Pilot**
	Partner must provide evidence of three completed analytics proof of concepts (POCs) or pilots. The POCs or pilots must validate the design decisions, review, and adjust the design as appropriate before production rollout.
	POC or pilot must document the purpose, customer pain points, pilot success criteria, the intended benefits of the pilot, and results for the following Azure products:
	• Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks**, OR** Microsoft Fabric **OR** Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Data Warehouse)
	Required evidence:
	The partner must provide relevant documentation for three **(3)** customers with a completed analytics proof of concept (POC) or pilot project within the past **twenty-four (24)** months.
	Accepted Documentation:
	POC/Pilot Architecture Diagrams; Reference Architectural Design Blueprints; Test Plans and Results; Implementation Documentation; other POC Documents; and Monitoring Tool Report.

Microsoft

3.0 Deployment
Partners have robust methodologies for deploying the workload.

Requirement

3.1	**Deployment** The partner must provide evidence of the partner's capability to implement Analytics solutions deployed in production environments, based on customer-approved designs. At least **three (3)** customers must have Azure Synapse Analytics **OR** Azure Databricks**, OR** Microsoft Fabric or Dedicated SQL Pool (formerly SQL Datawarehouse). **Required evidence:** Provide <u>documentation </u>for **three (3)** unique customers with completed projects within the past **twenty-four (24)** months. Documentation for **each customer** must include **two (2) or more of the following items, and cover the entire sequence of the project** (from design to production deployment): - Signed SOW (signed statement of work) for all projects - Solution design documents for all projects - Project plan and migration/deployment sequence - Architecture diagrams - High Level Design (HLD) and Low-Level Design (LLD) - As-built documentation

4.0 Review and Release for Operations
Partners have robust methodologies for transitioning the Analytics workload.

Requirement

4.1	**Service Validation and Testing** Partner must validate the deployment, including: - Demonstrate the process and approach for testing and evaluating the performance of all applications against end user expectations and Azure best practices. - Demonstrate the process and approach for evaluating and improving architectural best practices to remediate issues with migrated platforms or workloads that do not meet performance or cost expectations. **Required evidence:** **Documentation** of testing and performance validation that addresses the above points for the **three (3)** unique customers. The documentation must indicate that the implemented solution meets customer expectations with a sign-off from the customer. Projects must have been implemented within the last **twenty-four (24)** months. These projects can be the same as the projects evidenced earlier in Control 3.1, Deployment.

Microsoft

4.2	**Post-deployment Documentation**
	Partner must provide documentation post-deployment to ensure customers are successful in using the new service in Azure.

Post-deployment Documentation

Partner must provide documentation post-deployment to ensure customers are successful in using the new service in Azure.

- Demonstrate how the partner documents, decisions, architectural designs, and procedures were implemented.

- Demonstrate Standard Operating Procedures for business-as-usual operations team which describe 'how- to' scenarios.

Required evidence:

Documentation showing the above points for **three (3)** unique customers with completed Azure analytics projects within the last **twenty-four (** months.

Azure Specializations Partner FAQ

Questions regarding the Azure Partner program specializations, the current checklists and pre- qualifications for partners can usually be answered by visiting Microsoft Azure Partner Specializations

Questions on the audit checklists and program can be sent to the Azure Partner Specializations help alias,
<mailto:AzureAS@microsoft.com>

If you have questions that have not been answered, please go to Partner Center support to create a ticket with our Frontline Support team.

Microsoft