

Al Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization (previously Al and Machine Learning on Microsoft Azure Specialization)

Program guide, audit checklist, and FAQ

V1.6

Aug 12, 2024 – June 30, 2025



Program updates and announcements

Module B – Dec 2, 2024

V1.6 has a name change from AI and Machine Learning on Microsoft Azure Specialization to AI Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization effective Jan 1, 2025. No changes to the Module B checklist controls have been made. This checklist is in force from Aug 12, 2024 – June 30, 2025

Module B – Sept 9, 2024

V1.5 Control 2.3 has added a clarification note for the Proof-of-Concept Design timeline

Module B – Aug 12, 2024

Update to Control 1.1 Assessment: A greenfield option has been added in the AZURE AI Solution Identification architecture workload

Module A – new checklist required Aug 12, 2024

The new Module A checklist is required August 12, 2024. These are the changes in the Module A controls:

- Control 1.1 Cloud Adoption Business Strategy now refers to FinOps rather than Economics and has provided an updated template link for a FinOps Assessment
- Control 2.1 Cloud Adoption Plan has provided updated evidence clarification
- Control 3.1 Repeatable Deployment has provided updated control clarification and provided updated links to templates mentioned
- Control 3.1 Repeatable Deployment A special Evidence Note for Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only. If no Identity or Networking components are deployed in the Azure Landing Zone, a documented focus on Resource organization attributes is sufficient to pass this control
- Control 4.1 Governance Tooling has provided an updated link to current Governance tools
- Control 5.1 Operations Management Tooling for Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only: If no Operations Management Tooling is deployed, this control may be skipped for both specializations

Please note audit price increases July 1, 2024

Module B – Jan 1, 2024

V1.5 AI Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization is published. This checklist is required until Dec 31, 2024, or until further updates are published. Changes include: The Azure AI portfolio in controls 1.1 (Assessment), control 2.1 (Solution Design) control 2.3 (Proof of Concept) and control 3.1 (Deployment) have been updated to current portfolio product names:

- AI Cognitive Services has been renamed Azure AI Services; Azure Cognitive Search has been renamed Azure AI Search
- Azure Data Warehouse has been renamed Azure Synapse Analytics

Module B - Dec 1, 2023

The PREVIEW for V1.5 AI Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization was made available for partners

October 1, 2023

Azure Active Directory has been renamed Microsoft Entra ID

August 28, 2023

The Microsoft Cloud Partner Program has changed its name to the Microsoft Al Cloud Partner Program effective immediately

Module B - July 5, 2023

V1.4 AI and ML on Microsoft Azure Specialization checklist is published. This checklist version is required for audits July 5, 2023 – Jan 2, 2024

Updates to Control 1.1: Under the AI Powered App option, Azure AI Services have been called out. For Azure AI Search the ability to price is added in the control option. For Azure AI Search advanced features, vector search is now an optional choice for evidence

Azure Innovate has been added for this specialization's program benefits - see the Partner FAQ

Module B - Jan 2, 2023

V1.3 Al and ML on Microsoft Azure Specialization audit checklist is published. This checklist version is required Jan 2, 2023- June 30, 2023

Module B- Dec 5, 2022

The PREVIEW for V1.3 AI Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization was made available for partners. There are no new Module A or B Control updates

• FAQ updates include the clarification that a "No Pass" results when a partner fails or withdraws from the audit. This status resets from "Audit Failed" within one week to "Not Enrolled," for partners to reapply.

Module B- Oct 3, 2022

Microsoft retired Gold Cloud partner competency, Solutions partner designation required. Gold & Silver competencies are retired and replaced with <u>Solutions Partner</u> designations. For this specialization, your organization must have an active Solutions Partner for Data & AI (Azure) designation.

Module A - July 1, 2022

Checklist updates published May 2, 2022, in preview for the Module A audit checklist are now required. In Control 2.2, a new required Skilling Plan has been added to the checklist.

Module B - July 1, 2022

Checklist updates published May 2, 2022, in preview for the Module B workload, AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization V1.0.1 had changes now required in V1.1 Changes include:

• **Solution Design Control 2.1** has added best practices for Responsible AI. This includes a "read and review" acknowledgement of Microsoft's RAI Standards and a new Impact Assessment Template for any AI system being created. This is required July 1, 2022

Module B - May2,2022

- 1. Guidance for the definition of Proof of Concept and Pilots added to the FAQ
- 2. Preview updates to Module A were made available, these are required July 1, 2022

Module B - Feb25, 2022

Clarification for Module B 1.1 Portfolio Assessment evidence

Jan 1, 2022 Guidanceand FAQ Updates

Contents

AI Platform on MSFT Azure Specialization Program Overview	5
How to apply	5
NDAs for the audit	6
Payment terms and conditions	6
Audit blueprint	7
Audit roles	7
Audit Process: High-level overview	8
AuditProcess: Details	9
Audit preparation best practices and resources	10
Audit checklists	11
Partner FAQ	24

AI Platform on Microsoft Azure Specialization Program Overview

This document defines the requirements to earn the AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization. It also provides further requirements, guidelines, and audit checklists for the associated audits required to earn this Azure specialization.

The AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization is designed for partners to demonstrate their deep knowledge, extensive experience, and proven success in planning and deploying AI Platform on Microsoft Azure cloud for their customers. Such partners empower their customers to deploy Azure AI services and machine learning solutions, from the assessment phase to design, pilot, implementation, and post-implementation phases to realize the full breadth of these transformative, secure solutions at enterprise scale.

The AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization allows partners with an active <u>Solution Partner</u> designation to further differentiate their organizations, demonstrate their capabilities, and build stronger connections with customers. For this specialization, your organization must have an active Solutions Partner for Digital & App Innovation (Azure) or Data & AI (Azure) designation.

Partners who meet the comprehensive requirements to earn an Azure specialization, receive a customerfacing label they can display and a business profile in <u>Microsoft AppSource partner gallery</u>. In AppSource, access to specific Microsoft go-to-market programs is prioritized in customer searches to help drive new business. Partners can also generate a certified letter from Microsoft that verifies the Azure specialization that they have earned. For these reasons, this opportunity is available only to partners that meet additional, stringent requirements.

How to apply

Partners with the appropriate role and access permissions can apply. To do so, they <u>sign into their</u> Partner Center account.

On the left pane, select Azure under the **Specialization section**. Toggle to the specialization that you wish to apply for by using the drop-down menu at the top of the page.



<u>Important</u>: Take note of the audit effective dates and access the most current version from Partner Center. (Audit checklists are updated twice a year). Partners audit on the version that is live on their audit date, not the application date.

Partners may apply for the audit only after all other program requirements have been fully met. Partners must complete the audit within thirty (30) calendar days of the audit application, and they must complete it against the then-current program requirements.

NDAs for the audit

Auditors comply with requests from partners to sign a direct NDA. All ISSI auditors are under a nondisclosure agreement (NDA) with Microsoft. If a partner would like an NDA to be signed directly between ISSI and the partner organization for purposes of the audit, one can be provided by the partner during the audit scheduling process to ISSI. ISSI will sign and return it.

Paymentterms and conditions Pricing schedule July 1, 2024

Module B Audit: \$2,400 USD

Module A+B Audits: \$3,600 USD

A Gap Review Meeting isincluded with each Module audit.

Payment terms

The cost of the audit is payable in full to the audit companyand must be settled before the audit begins. Failure to pay will result in cancellation of the audit.

Program status term

When a partner meets all prerequisite requirements shown in Partner Center and Microsoft receives a valid Pass Report from the third-party audit company, the partner will be awarded the AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization for one (1) calendar year.

The status and the AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization label can be used only by the organization (determined by Partner Center MPN PGA ID account) and any associated locations (determined by MPN PLA ID) that met all requirements and passed the audit. Any subsidiary or affiliated organizations represented by separate Partner Center accounts (MPN PGA ID) may not advertise the status or display the associated label.



Audit blueprint

Audits are evidence-based. During the audit, partners will be expected to present evidence they have met the specific requirements on the checklist. This involves providing the auditor with access to live demonstrations, documents, and SME personnel to demonstrate compliance with checklist requirements. The audit checklist will be updated to stay current withtechnology and market changes, and the audit is conducted by an independent, third-party auditor.

The following is included in the audit blueprint:

- 1. Audit Roles
- 2. Audit Process: High-level overview
- 3. Audit Process: Details
- 4. Audit Best practices and resources

Audit roles

Role of the auditor

The auditor reviews submitted evidence and objectively assesses whether the evidence provided by the partner satisfies the audit checklist requirements.

The auditor selects and evaluates evidence, based on samples of the information available from live systems. The appropriate use of such sampling is closely related to the confidence that can be placed in the audit conclusions. All ISSI auditors are under a non-disclosure agreement (NDA) with Microsoft. Auditors will also comply with requests from partners to sign a direct NDA.

Role of the partner

The partner must provide objective evidence that satisfies the auditor for all checklist items. It is the responsibility of the partner to have reviewed all check-list items prior to the audit, to have collected all necessary documentation and evidence, and to have ensured that the right subject matter experts are available to discuss and show systems, as appropriate. All audit evidence must be reproducible and verifiable.

Role of the Microsoft Partner Development Manager

For partners that have an assigned Microsoft Partner Development Manager (PDM), the PDM is responsible for ensuring that the partner fully understands the requirements prior to applying for the audit. The PDM may attend the optional consulting engagements that ISSI offers, but the PDM and other Microsoft FTEs may not attend the audit.



Audit Process: High-level overview

Step	Action	Responsibility
1	Review: Specialization requirements in Partner Center. Review audit checklists in the specialization and begin to prepare needed evidence with personnel for an evidence-based audit. <u>Recommended</u> : Before you apply, review the specific audit checklist thoroughly and confirm SME personnel.	Partner
2	 Meet the prerequisites and apply for the audit: In the initial application phase, applications are submitted in two (2) stages: 1. Prerequisite requirements (see Partner Center for details) 2. Audit Do not start the application process unless you are ready to undertake the audit. Assess your firm's ability to complete the audit, including considerations for readiness, employee availability, and holidays. 	Partner
3	Validate: The partner meets all requirements prior to audit.	Microsoft
4	Confirmed by Microsoft : Microsoft confirms to the third-party audit company that the partner is eligible for audit.	Microsoft
5	Schedule with partner : The auditor will schedule within two (2) business days.	Auditor(with partner)
6	Conduct the audit : Within thirty (30) calendar days of the approval for audit.	Auditor
7	Provide a Gap Report : If applicable, to the partner within two (2) business days of the completed audit, listing any Open Action Items. *	Auditor
8	Acknowledge Gap Report receipt and schedule meeting : Within two (2) business days of receiving the Gap Report, the partner acknowledges receipt of the report and schedules a Gap Review Meeting. Partners can begin immediate remediation of open items.	Partner
9	Complete the meeting : Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving the Gap Report, the partner schedules and completes the Gap Review Meeting with the auditor to provide evidence and address any Open Action Items. *	Auditor(with partner)
10	Issue Final Report : To the partner within five (5) business days. Notify Microsoft of audit Pass or No Pass result.	Auditor
11	Notify the partner : About program status within two (2) business days.	Microsoft

*These steps will beskipped if the partner has no Open Action Items after the audit.

Audit Process: Details

Microsoft uses an independent third-party audit company, Information Security Systems International, LLC (ISSI), to schedule and conduct Azure specialization audits. After the audit date has been confirmed, ISSI will provide an agenda to the partner. The duration of an audit is four (4) hours for Module B workloads and eight (8) hours for Module A+B audits combined, depending upon the scope of the audit.

During the audit, the partner must provide access to the appropriate personnel who can discuss and disclose evidence that demonstrates compliance with program requirements. We highly recommend that subject matter experts for each section attend as well as a person who is familiar with the entire audit.

On the day of the audit, the partner must be prepared to provide the auditor with access to live demonstrations, documents, and personnel, as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the

requirements. During the audit, the auditor will seek to verify that the partner's evidence has addressed all required audit checklist items satisfactorily.

<u>A note on audit checklist effective dates</u>: Partners are audited against the checklist items that are active on the date of their remote audit, not the date they apply. Audits are updated twice annually. The partner application or renewal date has no bearing on the version of the checklist that is used for the audit. The audit can produce of two (2) outcomes:

- 1. The partner passes the audit.
 - The auditor will present a brief synopsisof the audit. This will include identifying observed strengths and opportunities for improvement.
 - The auditor will provide a Final Report to the partner.
 - Theauditor will notify Microsoft.
- 2. The partner does not satisfy all checklist items during the audit.
 - The auditor will present a brief synopsisof the audit at the endof the day, including observed strengths and Open Action Items, as outlined in the Gap Report, within two

(2) business days.

- The partner will acknowledge receipt of the Gap Report within two (2) business days.
- The partner will move into the Gap Review phase and schedule their Gap Review Meeting within fifteen (15) calendar days.

The Gap Review

If the partner does not, to the auditor's satisfaction, provide evidence that meets the required scores across all audit categories during the audit, the partner will move into a Gap Review. A Gap Review is part of the audit and completes the process. Within two (2) business days after the audit, the partner will receive a Gap Report, which details any Open Action Items and the outstanding required evidence. It is suggested to begin remediation on any open action items as soon as possible following the audit.

The partner then has two (2) businessdays to acknowledge receipt of the Gap Report and schedule a Gap Review Meeting. The Gap Review Meeting is conducted with the auditor over the partner's virtual conference platform of choice. The meetingmust take place within fifteen (15) calendar days of when the Gap Report was sent, and it may last no longer than one (1) hour. During the Gap Review Meeting the partner must present evidence that addresses any and all Open Action Items.

The Gap Review Meeting can produce either of two (2) outcomes:

- 1. The partner resolves all Open Action Items.
 - The auditor confirms that the partner has provided the requiredevidence.
 - The auditor provides a Final Report to the partner.
 - The auditor notifies Microsoft about the outcome (subject to Auditor Terms and Conditions).
- 2. The partner does not resolve all Open Action Items.
 - The auditor presents a brief synopsis of the audit, including missed items.
 - The partner receives a Final Report that details the misseditems.
 - The auditor notifies Microsoft about the outcome (subject to Auditor Terms and Conditions).

If the partner is still unable to provide satisfactory evidence to the auditor during their Gap Review Meeting, the partner will be deemed to have failed the audit. Partners that still want to earn this Azure specialization will need to begin the application process again.

Completion of the audit

The audit process concludes when ISSI issues the Final Report after the audit or after the Gap Review. Partners will be awarded a Pass or No Pass result upon completion of the audit process, including if they withdraw from the audit process.

Audit preparation best practices and resources

Partners should ensure that the audit checklist hasbeen thoroughly read in advance of the audit

- Partners should ensure that all partner stakeholders involved have a copy of the audit checklist and that a stakeholder who knowsthe entire process is available for the duration of the audit
- Partners should confirm that they have live access granted, and files and tools are readily available during the audit exhibits

Stakeholder SME attendance in the audit

Stakeholders who can best address the relevant section should be available for the audit. However, please make sure that a stakeholder who knows the entire process is available for the duration of the audit.

Auditors often probe for more information

The auditor probes for more information to ensure that mature and repeatable processes are in place with the partner and that they are established, effective, and efficient.

The auditor is looking to see how a document was created, where it is located, and what source materials were used to create the document. By probing for more information, the auditor evaluates and validates that the partner is operating at an advanced level. This can only be done by questioning during the audit. This approach is explained to the partner during the opening meeting.

Acceptable evidence: Excerpts, exhibit file formats and use of PowerPoints

PowerPoints are a common and accepted format for presenting a high-level overview of a partner's systems. However, please also be prepared to present live demonstrations from source files so that the auditor may confirm that the systems in place are mature and effective. Excerpts can be used to communicate the highlevel overview but are not acceptable evidence, source documents must be presented.

Additional resources: Two optional audit preparation offers from the auditing firm*

To ensure objectivity, consulting auditors and auditors conducting the actual audits are different ISSI auditors.

- Partners can participate in an <u>optional</u>, one (1)-hour, live Audit Process & Controls Overview session provided by ISSI. This session provides a high-level overview of key aspects of the Azure specialization audit process. The session includes a discussion of the checklist requirements along with best practices to help partners prepare for the audit. Partners work directly with ISSI to schedule this remote session (via online web conference). For more information about this session, see <u>Azure Specialization - Audit</u> <u>Process and Controls Overview.</u>
- ISSI also provides <u>optional</u> extensive, in-depth consulting engagements to help partners prepare for their Azure specialization audit. Partners work directly with ISSI to schedule this remote session (via online web conference). For more information about this type of in-depth engagement, see Azure Specialization Consulting Offer <u>https://www.issi-inc.com/services/audit-readiness-preparation</u>.

* Please note that there is a cost associated with the consulting and audit preparations services. See Payment Terms and Conditions.

Audit checklists

The AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization audit checklist contains two (2) modules, **Module A**: Cloud Foundation and **Module B**: AI Platform on Microsoft Azure workload.

Module A: The Cloud Foundation evaluates the use of a consistent methodology and process for Azure adoption that is aligned with customers' expected outcomes, spanning the entire cloud adoption lifecycle. Module A is part of the Module B specialization audit package, and as a requirement must be renewed by audit for all Azure specializations.

To complete or renew Module A, the partner needs to pass all controls in Module A by providing the specified evidence or providing evidence of a recent (within two years) Module A+B Pass result. The relevant date for each partner is the Module B Anniversary Date (AD) shown in Partner Center.

To waiver out of Module A, the partner must provide evidence of a recent (within two years) Pass result for an applicable A+B audit or a Pass result for the AEMSP Control 3.A within the last year.

Module A waivers:

All Azure Specializations: When applying to renew subsequent Azure specializations, a previous Module A +B audit Pass result will satisfy the requirements for Module A if the result has been within two (2) years and is on the same Module A version. (Module A updates every two years in July). Partners who have passed an A+B Azure specialization audit within the last two years have satisfied the requirements for Module A in all Module A+B Azure specialization audits, unless otherwise noted. The relevant Module B Anniversary Date (AD) is shown in Partner Center.

Special note: Partners who have passed a Module B Azure specialization audit before July 1, 2021, and specifically for the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization before Oct 1, 2021, have likely not passed the Module A audit and will need to do so to qualify for an Azure Module B specialization audit.

AEMSP: Partners who have passed Azure Expert MSP V1.9 and later Module 3.0 (in Full and Progress audits) have satisfied the requirements for Module A in all Module A+B Azure specialization audits, unless otherwise noted. AEMSP Partners audit yearly to stay enrolled, and Module 3.A Cloud Adoption Framework is a yearly control requirement.

Special note: Partners who sequentially waiver out of Module A in multiple Module A+B audits and then subsequently waiver out of AEMSP Module 3.A within a two-year timeline will likely be required to take a Module A audit at Module A+B renewal.

If there are questions regarding a potential waiver for Module A, reach out to the Azure Partner Specializations <<u>azureAS@microsoft.com</u>>

Module B, Al Platform on Microsoft Azure workload. Each control has **one (1)** or more requirements with required evidence the partner must provide for the auditor. Both the requirements and the required evidence are defined in the followingtables. For some controls, a reference customer orcustomer evidence

is the documentation requested. The partner can use the same customer across audit checklist controls, or they can use a different customer. For audit evidence relating to customer engagements, the partner can use a customer case study and reference it multiple times. The same or different customers can be used for Modules A & B if they demonstrate requirements.

Module A: Cloud Foundation

- 1 Strategy
- 2 Plan
- 3 Environment readiness and Azure landing zone
- 4 Governance
- 5 Manage

Module B: AI Platform on Microsoft Azure

- 1 Assess
- 2 Design & POC/Pilot
- 3 Deployment
- 4 Review and release for operations

To pass the audit, the partner must complete all audit checklist items.

Module A, Cloud Foundation is required for multiple Azure specializations. To complete Module A: Cloud Foundation, the partner needs to pass all controls in Module A by providing the specified evidence. Alternatively, the partner may present evidence of a previous pass result from Module A or from another Azure specialization audit conducted within the last two (2) years. Partners who have passed an Azure specialization audit before July 1, 2021, and for the Analytics on Microsoft Azure specialization audit before Oct 1, 2021, have <u>likely not passed</u> the Module A audit and will need to do so to qualify for the Module B workload audits.

Module B, Al Platform on Microsoft Azure workload. Each control has one (1) or more requirements and required evidence the partner must provide for the auditor. Both the requirements and the required evidence are defined in the following tables.

For some controls, a reference customer or customer evidence is the documentation requested. Unless otherwise stated, the partner must show at least **three (3)** unique customers with deployments completed within the last **twelve (12)** months. Please note some checklists call for four (4) customer examples. The partner can use the same customer across audit checklist controls, or they can use a different customer. For audit evidence relating to customerengagements, the partner can use a customer case study and reference it multiple times. The same or different customers can be used for Modules A & B if they demonstrate requirements.

Module A: Cloud Foundation

1.0 Strategy and FinOps

The partner must have a defined approach for helping their customer evaluate and define a cloud adoption strategy beyond an individual asset (app, VM, or data).

Requ	Requirement	
1.1	Cloud Adoption Business Strategy The partner must have a defined process that captures the data-driven business strategies being used to guide customer decisions. The process should include, at minimum, the following:	
	1. A strategy review that captures the customer's business needs and the problems the customer is trying to solve.	
	2. Personalized recommendations from the partner for the customers' business strategies.	
	Required evidence:A Report, Presentation, or Documented Plan that captures strategic inputs and decisions fortwo (2) unique customers, and that demonstrate the Azure Cloud Adoption Businessdecisions for the Azure Cloud Framework, by using the Cloud Adoption Strategy Evaluator(CASE) assessment output.	
	These projects should have been completed in the past twelve (12) months. The projects must be aligned with the above-described processes 1 and 2 and highlight both customer Business and FinOps (Financial) outcomes.	
	For an example, see the <u>Cloud Adoption Strategy Evaluator</u> , <u>Strategy and plan templates</u> in the Cloud Adoption Framework for Azure, and especially the <u>FinOps Assessment</u> best practices in Build.	



2.0 P	lan	
	The partner must have a consistent approach to planning for cloud adoption that isbased on the stra outlined in the preceding section.	
Requirement		
2.1	 Cloud Adoption Plan The partner must have a process and approach for planning and tracking the completion of cloud adoption projects. Required evidence: The partner must provide evidence of their capability for process and approach to planning and completion with examples of two (2) unique customer projects that were completed in the past twelve (12) months. 	
	 Acceptable evidence will include at least one (1) of the following for each customer: Azure DevOps backlog OR Tools for project planning and tracking used by the partner OR <u>Cloud Adoption Plan Generator output</u> using the Azure Cloud Adoption Framework 	
2.2	Plan for Skilling When customers adopt the cloud, their existing technical staff will need a variety of new skills to aid in making technical decisions and to support the new cloud implementations. To ensure the long- term success of the customer, the partner must document a skilling plan to prepare the customer's technical staff.	
	The Partner must document a list of key customer technical roles expected to require new skills such as, but not limited to, IT Admins, IT Governance, IT Operations, and IT Security.	
	 The documentation must include: A description of the new skills the technical roles will need to achieve to successfully manage the new environment. Resources the customer can leverage when training their technical employees such as Microsoft learning paths, technical certifications, or other comparable resources. 	
	For guidance, review Microsoft docs Azure Cloud Adoption Framework <u>How to build</u> <u>a</u> skilling readiness plan.	
	Required evidence: The partner must provide a skilling plan for at least two (2) unique customer projects completed within the last twelve (12) months. The two (2) skilling plans documented can include a customer-facing presentation, planning documents, post deployment documentation or similar plan documentation.	

3.0 Environment Readiness and Azure Landing Zone

The partner must be able to demonstrate that the following design areas are addressed through their approach to landing zone implementation.

Requirement

Popos	
-	table Deployment
•	irtner must demonstrate adherence to Azure landing zone (ALZ) design areas through
	atable deployment. The deployment should configure, at minimum, the following y, network, and resource organization attributes:
•	Identity
	 Adoption of identity management solutions, such as Microsoft Entra ID (formerly Azure Active Directory) or equivalent
•	 Networking architecture design (topology) <u>Define an Azure network topology - Cloud Adoption Framework Microsoft Docs</u>
	 Application of hybrid architectures that use Azure ExpressRoute, VPN Gateway, or equivalent services for
	connecting local datacenters to Azure
•	Resource organization $_{\circ}$ Implementation of tagging and naming standards during the project
Thom	
•	artner must demonstrate which of the following <u>approaches</u> they used when they yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers:
	yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers:
deplo	yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are
deplo 1.	 yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance
deplo 1. 2.	 Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance and operations tools prior to implementation. Alternative approach: If the partner follows a proprietary approach or a mixture of the two (2) approaches above, the partner must clearly
deplo 1. 2. 3. 4.	 Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance and operations tools prior to implementation. Alternative approach: If the partner follows a proprietary approach or a mixture of the two (2) approaches above, the partner must clearly articulate their approach to environment configuration. Brownfield scenario: The partner's customer has a landing zone that does not follow best practices, and an update is required to follow best practices
deploy 1. 2. 3. 4. Requir	 yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance and operations tools prior to implementation. Alternative approach: If the partner follows a proprietary approach or a mixture of the two (2) approaches above, the partner must clearly articulate their approach to environment configuration. Brownfield scenario: The partner's customer has a landing zone that does not follow best practices, and an update is required to follow best practices in the Cloud Adoption Framework.
deploy 1. 2. 3. 4. Requi The pa	 yed Azure landing zones for two (2) unique customers: Start small and expand: Azure landing zone does not deploy governance or operations configurations, which are addressed later in the implementation. Full Azure landing zone (ALZ) conceptual architecture: Azure landing zones implement standard approach to the configuration of governance and operations tools prior to implementation. Alternative approach: If the partner follows a proprietary approach or a mixture of the two (2) approaches above, the partner must clearly articulate their approach to environment configuration. Brownfield scenario: The partner's customer has a landing zone that does not follow best practices, and an update is required to follow best practices in the Cloud Adoption Framework.

	zone <u>implementation options</u> , or it can be based on the partner's own IP (Intellectual Property). In either case, the output evidence must demonstrate the configuration of the identity, network, and resource organization, as described earlier above.
4.0	Governance
Azı	e partner must demonstrate their customer's role in governing cloud-based solutions and the ure tools they use to facilitate any governance requirements their customer might have today in the future.
Re	quirement
4.1	Governance Tooling The partner must demonstrate the ability to deploy the required governance tools for two (2) unique customer projects.
	Required evidence: The partner must demonstrate the use of Azure Policy to provide controls to govern the environment for two (2) unique customers with Azure projects that were completed in the past twelve (12) months. See <u>governance tools</u> for templates.
5.	0 Manage
th	e partner must demonstrate that they have set up their customers for operational success after e deployment is completed. All partners have a role in setting up operations management, even if ey do not provide long-term managed services.
Re	equirement
5.1	Operations Management Tooling The partner must demonstrate the use of Azure products or equivalent to help their customer and/or managed service provider operate the environment after deployment.
	Required evidence:
	The partner must demonstrate the deployment of at least one (1) of the following Azure products or third-party equivalents: Azure Monitor, Azure Automation, or Azure Backup/Site Recovery, for two (2) unique customers with projects that were completed in the past twelve (12) months.
	Special Evidence Note : For Analytics on Azure specialization deployments and Data Warehouse Migration to Azure specialization deployments only: If no Operations Management Tooling is deployed, this control may be skipped.

The provided template can be pulled directly from the Cloud Adoption Framework Landing

Module B: AI Platform on Microsoft Azure specialization workload

1.0 As	sess
Partnei	r must have a consistent approach for assessing customer requirements for AI solution.
Requi	rement
1.1	Portfolio Assessment
	The partner must demonstrate how they assess current state and customer
	requirements to ensure that adequate pre-deployment planning and sizing are
	performed. The Assessment must include:
	Requirements need analysis:
	Partner must have a demonstrated approach for helping customers understand
	the clear AI requirements in relation to their business goals. For example:
	 Is the challenge suitable to use AI or rules to resolve? If the
	challenge has enough features and weak certainty, is it suitable to
	use AI to resolve?
	Business need identification: Partner mustdocument the following:
	 Current pain points, challenges, expected ROI, and end user needs
	 Product fit and gaps, to identify which product will best serve the
	customer's needs
	 Data governance and compliance requirements
	 Security requirements
	 Budget requirements
	 Ethics, responsible use, and other requirements around AI
	AZURE AI Solution Identification: The partner must <u>document</u> the following:
	• Primary AI/ ML use cases
	 Current infrastructure or greenfield physical infrastructure
	 Logical architecture and requirements
	 Data storage needs from a business
	standpoint– volume, type, location, current
	state vs. future state
	 ML Ops/AIOps process and key KPIs to measure (data drift, audit etc.)
	 ML Model requirements and success metrics
	 Solution performance requirements and data requirements.
	 User roles, security requirements and how each will use and access the data Evicting infrastructure (nature/ing components that will connect to Azura)
	 Existing infrastructure/networking components that will connect to Azure
	Data needs: At the workload level, the partner must <u>document</u>
	which data needs must be fulfilled to meet stated business
	requirements around data:
	 Classification and risk of data involved – explain if any masking techniques was applied
	 Identify data sources (on-premises, AWS,
	Google, etc.) and destination (data
	storage on Azure)
	 Identify who or what services should consume the data
	Security and Compliance needs: Partner must document the following customer
	needs:
	Identity and access management, role-based access control, encryption, industry, and
	geography-centric compliance requirements, if applicable.

•	ractice specialties in either Machine Learning or Al Powered Applications
	ler each specialty must be demonstrated in evidence for one of these
specialties.	
Machine Leai	rning Lifecycle (competency in building custom machine learning).
The Ma	achine Learning Lifecycle Assessment must include requirements for:
٠	Data drift, training, and retraining scenarios
•	Inference requirements(real time and / or batch)
•	Data sets available, data dictionary, data set profiles
Assessment a processes:	and documentation for the required ML Lifecycle and ML Ops roles and
	AI-Powered Apps (competency in applying Azure AI services such
•	as Azure Open Al Service, Azure Al Vision, Azure Al Speech, Azure
	Al Language, Azure Al Search or other Azure Al services with
	applications in the Azure Al portfolio) or customizing existing
	algorithms in cloud native Apps.
The Al- Powe	ered Apps Assessment must include:
	Success criteria for Azure Al services use cases (e.g., accuracy
	threshold) Data requirements and data labelling requirements
•	Performance, load, throughput, and transaction requirements
	Usage option (Container vs Azure host)
	Azure AI Search: Ability to appropriately price, size and scale
	solution to meet storage capacity, query performance and
	throughput needs
•	Azure AI Search: Experience with both Push and Pull data
	ingestion methods
-	Azure AI Search: Usage of advanced search features such as:
	vector search, scoring profiles for search relevancy, synonym
	mapping and/or custom skill development
Required evi	
-	should provide relevant documents showing that the preceding items w
	r at least three (3) unique customers, with projects that were completed
within the la	ast twelve (12) months. The evidence must show that all above assessme
details were	considered for each customer. Assessments may be done manually or
through an	industry-accepted assessment tool.
Accepted Dr	ocumentation:
-	ollowing can be used: An Assessment Report, OR an Assessment Checklis
	R Questionnaires, the Project Plans, Data Migration Assistant (DMA) Repo
	Tradestormanes, the respect name, bata migration Assistant (DMA) Repu

2.0 De	sign and Proof of Concept (PoC)
	r has robust methodologies for designing the workload.
Requ	irement
2.1	Solution Design
	The partner must provide solution designs showing a consistent approach that addresses customer requirements captured from the assessment phase. Solution design must show, <u>where applicable</u> , the below customer requirements based on the assessment. Where the approach is not applicable, the partner must state why not.
	User Roles: User roles required to deploy the Al solution (developer, AlEngineers, data scientists, DevOps, AlOps etc.) and establish role-based access Data Source: All data sources and file types to be ingested
	Ingestion Engine: The use of a data ingestion engine to extract, transform, load, and clear data. Ingestion engines include but are not limited to native products such as Azure Data Factory, Informatica, Data Stage, and Azure Databricks
	Data Storage: The storage type for the ingested data. Data storage can include but is not limited to native products such as Azure Blob, Azure Data Lake, Azure Synapse Analytics (formerly Azure Data Warehouse), and Azure Synapse
	Encryption Method: Data encryption approach. Data encryption methodology can include but is not limited to Transparent Data Encryption (TDE), masking and Azure Key Vault Data preparation: Can include but is not limited to: Azure Synapse Analytics, Azure
	Databricks, Azure ML Compute
	Microsoft's RAI Standard: Read and review the <u>RAI Standard V2</u>
	Impact Assessment : Partner will conduct an impact assessment for any AI system being created using the <u>Impact Assessment Template</u> and the <u>Responsible AI Impact Assessment</u> <u>Guide</u> .
	Security: Appropriate service and index level security implementation(i.e., VNETs, private endpoints, RBAC, security filtering, etc.)
	Sizing and performance: Identify where design is meeting the requirements and considerations identified in the assess phase
	Monitoring: Performance monitoring and diagnostics Cost control: Identify where design can extract financial insights from available data Model/Algorithm/Al Service Selection: Design incorporates Al model, algorithm, service selection including training method
	Inferencing / Deployment: Approach and design (ACI AKS quotas, limits, managed endpoints etc.) <u>The AI considerations</u> are incorporated into the design e.g., Transparency, explainability, bias, disparity etc. Automation and Dev Ops: Design includes DevOps, ML Ops, CI/CD tools and processes required to meet the requirements AI Architecture: Solution architecture highlights best practices and guidance for the selected AI services
	In addition, the partner must demonstrate design capability across at least one (1) of the practice specialties below:
	 Machine Learning Lifecycle (competency in building custom machine learning). Design must show:
	 ML Platform design including end to end ML Ops process/ pipelines for scale
	ML scoring requirements and metricsVersioning and tracking, ML audit, governance, and policies
	OR AI-Powered Apps Competency in applying Azure AI Services, (Azure Open AI Sonvice, Azure AI Vision, Azure AI Speech, Azure AI Language, Azure AI Content

	Design must show:
	 Azure AI services transactions, pricing and cost considerations are
	factored into the design
	 Al Interface and interaction design is factored into the design Container deployment architecture is factored into the design
	 Container deployment architecture is factored into the design Cloud native App design and development
	 Cloud native App design and development Arure Al Search: Data indeving and data turing for search
	 Azure AI Search: Data indexing and data tuning for search relevancy and performance with optional vector search
	 Azure Al Search: Search pipeline
	Required evidence:
	The partner mustprovide relevant solution designdocuments that address the points above, from at least three (3) unique customers with Azure AI projects completed within the past twelve (12) months.
	Acceptable Documentation:
	Partner mustshow design documents, which include at a minimum two (2) of the following:
	 Project Plan
	Functional Specifications
	 Architectural Diagram
	 Automated Tooling Reports
	 Physical and Logical diagrams
	 The partner acknowledgement for review of the <u>RAIStandard V2</u> as
	read
	 A completed Customer Impact Assessment using the <u>Impact Assessment</u> <u>Template</u>
2.2	Azure Well Architected Review of Workloads
	The partner must demonstrate usage of the <u>Azure Well-Architected Review</u> on Azure AI
	workloads. The Azure Well-Architected Review is designed to help partners evaluate your
	customers' workloads against the latest set of industry best practices. It provides
	actionable guidance to design and improve your customers' workloads.
	The Review can be used to evaluate each workload against the pillars of the Azure Well Architected Framework that matter to that workload.
	Required evidence:
	Note: Mandatory review pillar for operational excellence. To find this pillar, start the
	core Well Architected Review assessment at the link above. Then choose the operational
	excellence pillar. This specialization checklist requires the partner to complete the
	Operational Excellence Pillar, which must be conducted upon Review and Release for
	Operations completion, as specified in control 4.1. The partner must provide exported
	results from the completed Microsoft Azure Well Architected Review using the assessments
	in Well-Architected Review, conducted in the last twelve (12) months, for three (3)
	workloads using Azure AI services indicating the customer's name. The three
	(3) workloads can come from one (1) or more customers.

2.3	Proof of Concept or Pilot
	Partner must provide evidence of a completed proof of concept (PoC) or pilot project.
	The PoC or pilot project must validate the design decisions, review, and adjust the design
	as appropriate before production rollout.
	PoC or pilot project must document the purpose, customer pain points, project success
	criteria, intended benefits of the project, and results for at least one (1) of the following
	Azure services:
	1 Azure Al Services: (Azure Open Al Service, Azure Al Vision, Azure Al
	Speech, Azure Al Language, Azure Al Search, Azure Al Content Safety)
	2 Azure Machine Learning
	,
	Required evidence:
	Partner must provide relevant <u>documentation</u> for one (1) customer with a completed proof
	of concept (PoC) or pilot project within the past twelve (12) months that includes at least
	one (1) of the Azure AI Services listed above under point one (1).
	Note: POCs can be completed in <3 months or go longer. If the POC timeline is
	> twelve 12 months for design validation, please communicate this to the auditor with
	proof of timeline and logical reasoning for extended time.
	Accepted Documentation
	PoC/Pilot architecture diagrams OR Reference architectural design blueprints OR Test
	plans and results; Implementation documentation OR other PoC documents; or a
	Monitoring Tool report.
	ployment
	has robust methodologies for deploying the workload.
Requir	
3.1	Deployment
	Partner must provide evidence of the partner's capability to implement AI solutions
	deployed in production environments, based on customer-approved designs. The
	deployment must include at least one (1) of the following Azure products:
	1 Azure Al Services
	2 Azure Applied Al Services
	3 Azure Machine Learning
	4 Azure Al Search
	Required evidence:
	Partner must provide <u>documentation</u> for any of the above AI services for three (3) unique
	customers with completed projects within the past twelve (12) months. Documentation
	provided for each customer must cover the entire sequence of the project (from design to
	production deployment) and must include at least two (2) of the following items:
	Signed SOWs for all projects
	Solution Design Documents for all projects
	Project Plan and Migration/deployment sequence
	Architecture Diagrams

- High-level Design (HLD) and Low-Level Design (LLD)
- As-built Documentation

4.0 Rev	view and Release for Operations
Partner	has robust methodologies for transitioning the workload.
Requi	rement
4.1	 Service Validation and Testing Partner must validate the deployment, including: Demonstrate the process and approach for testing and evaluating the performance of all solutions against end user expectations and Azure best practices. Demonstrate assessment where applicable against the <u>Cloud Adoption Framework best practices</u> including: Application design Monitoring Application performance management Code deployment Infrastructure provisioning Testing The partner must demonstrate the process and approach for evaluating and improving architectural best practices to remediate issues with workloads that do not meet performance or cost expectations.
	 Required evidence: <u>Documentation</u> of testing and performance validation that addresses the above points for the three (3) unique customers with projects completed in the last twelve (12) months. The documentation must indicate that the implemented solution meets customer expectations with a sign-off from the customer. These projects can be the same as the projects evidenced earlier in Control 3.1., Deployments. Exported results from a completed Microsoft Well Architected Review (using the Operational Excellence pillar) assessments in <u>Well-Architected Review</u> conducted <u>post-deployment</u>, indicating the <u>customer's name</u> is the acceptable evidence for this section. The Well-Architected reviews must have been for projects completed in the last twelve (12) months.
4.2	 Post-deployment Documentation Partner must provide documentation post-deployment to ensure customers are successful in using the new service in Azure. Demonstrate how the partner documents, decisions, architectural designs, and procedures were implemented. Demonstrate Standard Operating Procedures for business-as-usual operations team which describe 'how-to' scenarios.
	Required evidence: Documentation showing the above points, for three (3) unique customers with Azure AI projects completed within the last twelve (12) months. These projects can be the same as the projects evidenced in Control 3.1 if they demonstrate requested control evidence.

Azure Specializations Partner FAQ

Questions regarding the Azure Partner program specializations, the current checklists and pre-qualifications for partners can usually be answered by visiting <u>Microsoft Azure Partner Specializations</u>

Questions on the audit checklists and program can be sent to the Azure Partner Specializations help alias <<u>mailto:AzureAS@microsoft.com</u>>

If you have questions that have not been answered, please go to <u>Partner Center support</u> to create a Support ticket (SR) with our Frontline team.

