Table of Contents

Figure 1. FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre- exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in moderately or severely immunocompromised patients [pemivibart EUA]	2
Figure 2. FDA EUA criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID- 19 [pemivibart EUA]	3
Table 1. Broad categorization of example immunocompromised status based on medical condition or immunosuppressive treatment.	4
Table 2. GRADE Evidence Profile: In moderately or severely immunocompromised persons 12 years or older, should pemivibart compared to no pemivibart be used for pre-exposure prophylaxis?	; 5

Figure 1. FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in moderately or severely immunocompromised patients [pemivibart EUA]

According to the FDA Emergency Use Authorization of pemivibart, medical conditions or treatments that may result in moderate to severe immune compromise include but are not limited to:

- Active treatment for solid tumor and hematologic malignancies
- Hematologic malignancies associated with poor responses to COVID-19 vaccines regardless of current treatment status (e.g., chronic lymphocytic leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute leukemia)
- Receipt of solid-organ transplant or an islet transplant and taking immunosuppressive therapy
- Receipt of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T-cell or hematopoietic stem cell transplant (within 2 years of transplantation or taking immunosuppressive therapy)
- Moderate or severe primary immunodeficiency (e.g., common variable immunodeficiency disease, severe combined immunodeficiency, DiGeorge syndrome, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome)
- Advanced or untreated HIV infection (people with HIV and CD4 cell counts <200/mm3, history of an AIDS-defining illness without immune reconstitution, or clinical manifestations of symptomatic HIV)
- Active treatment with high-dose corticosteroids (i.e., ≥20 mg prednisone or equivalent per day when administered for ≥2 weeks), alkylating agents, antimetabolites, transplant-related immunosuppressive drugs, cancer chemotherapeutic agents classified as severely immunosuppressive, and biologic agents that are immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory (e.g., B-cell depleting agents)

Figure 2. FDA EUA criteria for the use of pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 [pemivibart EUA]

This EUA for the use of the unapproved products pemivibart for pre-exposure prophylaxis in adults and pediatric individuals (12 years of age and older weighing at least 40 kg) who are:

- Who are not currently infected with SARS-CoV-2 and who have not had a known recent exposure to an individual infected with SARS-CoV-2 and
- Who have moderate-to-severe immune compromise due to a medical condition or receipt of immunosuppressive medications or treatments and are unlikely to mount an adequate response to COVID-19 vaccination.

Table 1. Broad categorization of example immunocompromised status based on medical condition or immunosuppressive treatment. Thresholds by which this categorization has been determined have been derived from cohort studies beginning in the Omicron era of COVID-19; however, this may not be representative of currently evolving variants.

The risk of progression to severe COVID-19 is a continuum influenced by various factors, including the degree of immunosuppression. The categorization of risk and the examples provided in the table below are illustrative, based on a few studies, and are not exhaustive or a thorough list of all conditions [Evans 2023, Solera 2024].

Risk category	Example health condition	Example therapeutics
Higher risk immunocompromised patients	 Stem cell transplant <2 years Graft versus host disease, grade 3 or 4 Hematological malignancy on therapy Lung transplant Fewer than 1% peripheral B- cells assessed in past 6 months 	 B-cell depleting agents in past 12 months (e.g., rituximab, ofatumumab, ocrelizumab, others) CAR-T therapy in past 12 months Abatacept
Moderate risk immunocompromised patients	 Solid organ transplant other than lung Solid tumor on treatment Congenital agammaglobulinemia Graft versus host disease, grade 1 or 2 HIV infection with CD4 <200 Other severe primary immunodeficiency 	 Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (e.g., ibrutinib, acalabrutinib, others) High-dose corticosteroids (>20 mg prednisone or equivalent for >4 weeks) Anthracycline derivates
Lower risk immunocompromised patients	 HIV infection with CD4 >200 Inflammatory bowel disease Cirrhosis ESRD Solid tumor (treatment >12 month prior) 	 Anti-TNF Anti-IL-6 Anti-IL12 and 23 Corticosteroids ≤10 mg long-term, or <20 mg for <4 weeks Intra-articular steroids

Table 2. GRADE Evidence Profile: In moderately or severely immunocompromised persons 12 years or

older, should pemivibart compared to no pemivibart be used for pre-exposure prophylaxis?

Certainty assessment								No. of		t	Certaint	Importa
							patients		У	nce		
No.	Study	Risk	Inconsis	Indirec	Imprec	Other	Pemivi	No	Relati	Absolute		
of	design	of	tency	tness	ision	consider	bart	pemivi	ve	(95%		
studi		bias				ations		bart	(95%	CI)		
es									CI)			

All-cause mortality

No data

Symptomatic infections (as inferred by immunobridging neutralization study of pemivibart 4,500 mg IV based on titers against JN.1 at day 28)

1 ^{[CAN}	non-	serio	not	serious ^b	not	none	Immunobridging is	$\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$	IMPORT
OPY,	rando	us ^a	serious		serious		established if the lower limit	Voru	ANT
pemivib	mised						of the 2-sided 90% CI of the	very	
art	studies						ratio of the geometric mean	IOW	
EUA]							titer value is greater than 0.8.		
							Results: the geometric mean		
							ratio between the calculated		
							titer for pemivibart against		
							JN.1 (based on an authentic		
							virus neutralization assay		
							EC50 value of 63.6 ng/mL)		
							and the calculated titer for		
							adintrevimab against Delta		
							(based on a similar authentic		
							virus neutralization assay		
							EC50 value of 7 ng/mL) was		
							0.82 (90% CI: 0.80-0.85). The		
							authors conclude that the		
							calculated pemivibart serum		
							neutralizing antibody titers		
							were consistent with the titer		
							levels associated with efficacy		
							in prior clinical trials of		
							adintrevimab and certain other		
							monoclonal antibody products		
							previously authorized for the		
							prevention of COVID-19.		

Symptomatic infections (as inferred by indirect evidence from adintrevimab 300 mg PrEP cohort) (follow-up: 3 months)^c

1 ^{[Ison}	rando	not	not	serious ^d	serious	none	12/752	40/728	RR	39 fewer	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc$	CRITIC
2023]	mised	serio	serious				(1.6%)	(5.5%)	0.29	per	\cap	AL
	trial	us							(0.15	1,000		
									to	(from 47	LOW	
									0.55)	fewer to		

					25	
					fewer)	

Anaphylaxis

1 ^{[CAN}	non-	not	not	not	not	none	4/623	0/162	not	6 more	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc$	CRITIC
OPY,	rando	serio	serious	serious	serious		(0.6%)	(0.0%)	estim	per	\cap	AL
pemivib	mised	us							able	1,000		
art	studies									(from 0	LOW	
EUA]										more to		
										12		
										more) ^f		
							•		•			•

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

Explanations

a. No control group comparison (see Supplementary Table 2)

b. Not based on patient-important outcomes. Neutralizing activity only.

c. Adintrevimab is the ancestral neutralizing antibody which is no longer active against circulating virus but was used to create pemivibart

d. Several layers of indirectness are present: 1) Indirect data from parent monoclonal antibody against SARS CoV-2 variant that is no longer in circulation; 2) indirectness whether JN.1 will be susceptible to pemivibart to the same degree, i.e. uncertainty of remaining effect estimate at currently circulating variants; 3) uncertainty of baseline risk: over time, the proportion of symptomatic infections have declined and whether the historical 5.5% symptomatic infection rate seen with adintrevimab (enrollment in 2021) within 3 months is still applicable is unknown. With declining baseline risk for symptomatic infections, the absolute risk difference of downstream patient important outcomes (hospital admission, severe COVID etc.) resulting from pemivibart declines as well and may become less clinically relevant over time.

e. Fragility present; low number of events

f. Anaphylaxis was observed in 4/263 (0.6%) participants receiving pemivibart, 2 of which were described as life-threatening.